

RULE MAKING ACTIVITIES

Each rule making is identified by an I.D. No., which consists of 13 characters. For example, the I.D. No. AAM-01-96-00001-E indicates the following:

AAM -the abbreviation to identify the adopting agency
01 -the *State Register* issue number
96 -the year
00001 -the Department of State number, assigned upon receipt of notice.
E -Emergency Rule Making—permanent action not intended (This character could also be: A for Adoption; P for Proposed Rule Making; RP for Revised Rule Making; EP for a combined Emergency and Proposed Rule Making; EA for an Emergency Rule Making that is permanent and does not expire 90 days after filing.)

Italics contained in text denote new material. Brackets indicate material to be deleted.

Department of Agriculture and Markets

NOTICE OF ADOPTION

Provision by Municipalities of Certain Weights and Measures Devices to Municipal Directors of Weights and Measures

I.D. No. AAM-38-14-00002-A

Filing No. 992

Filing Date: 2014-12-09

Effective Date: 2014-12-24

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following action:

Action taken: Amendment of sections 221.1 and 222.2 of Title 1 NYCRR.

Statutory authority: Agriculture and Markets Law, sections 18 and 179

Subject: Provision by municipalities of certain weights and measures devices to municipal directors of weights and measures.

Purpose: To lessen the burden of municipalities by requiring fewer devices to be maintained and allowing for easier calibration of devices.

Text or summary was published in the September 24, 2014 issue of the Register, I.D. No. AAM-38-14-00002-P.

Final rule as compared with last published rule: No changes.

Text of rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from: Mike Sikula, Director, Bureau of Weights and Measures, NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets, 10B Airline Drive, Albany, NY 12235, (518) 457-3146, email: Mike.Sikula@agriculture.ny.gov

Assessment of Public Comment

The agency received no public comment.

Department of Economic Development

EMERGENCY RULE MAKING

START-UP NY Program

I.D. No. EDV-51-14-00004-E

Filing No. 991

Filing Date: 2014-12-09

Effective Date: 2014-12-09

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following action:

Action taken: Addition of Part 220 to Title 5 NYCRR.

Statutory authority: Economic Development Law, art. 21, sections 435-36; L. 2013, ch. 68

Finding of necessity for emergency rule: Preservation of general welfare.

Specific reasons underlying the finding of necessity: On June 24, 2013, Governor Andrew Cuomo signed into law the SUNY Tax-free Areas to Revitalize and Transform UPstate New York (START-UP NY) program, which offers an array of tax benefits to eligible businesses and their employees that locate in facilities affiliated with New York universities and colleges. The START-UP NY program will leverage these tax benefits to attract innovative start-ups and high tech industries to New York so as to create jobs and promote economic development.

Regulatory action is required to implement the START-UP NY program. The legislation creating the START-UP NY program delegated to the Department of Economic Development the establishment of procedures for the implementation and execution of the START-UP NY program. Without regulatory action by the Department of Economic Development, procedures will not be in place to accept applications from institutions of higher learning desiring to create Tax-Free Areas, or businesses wishing to participate in the START-UP NY program.

Adoption of this rule will enable the State to begin accepting applications from businesses to participate in the START-UP NY program, and represent a step towards the realization of the strategic objectives of the START-UP NY program: attracting and retaining cutting-edge start-up companies, and positioning New York as a global leader in high tech industries.

Subject: START-UP NY Program.

Purpose: Establish procedures for the implementation and execution of START-UP NY.

Substance of emergency rule: START-UP NY is a new program designed to stimulate economic development and promote employment of New Yorkers through the creation of tax-free areas that bring together educational institutions, innovative companies, and entrepreneurial investment.

1) The regulation defines key terms, including: “business in the formative stage,” “campus,” “competitor,” “high tech business,” “net new job,” “new business,” and “underutilized property.”

2) The regulation establishes that the Commissioner shall review and approve plans from State University of New York (SUNY) colleges, City University of New York (CUNY) colleges, and community colleges seeking designation of Tax-Free NY Areas, and report on important aspects of the START-UP NY program, including eligible space for use as Tax-Free Areas and the number of employees eligible for personal income tax benefits.

3) The regulation creates the START-UP NY Approval Board, composed of three members appointed by the Governor, Speaker of the Assembly and Temporary President of the Senate, respectively. The START-UP NY Approval Board reviews and approves plans for the creation of Tax-Free NY Areas submitted by private universities and colleges, as well as certain plans from SUNY colleges, CUNY colleges, and community colleges, and designates Strategic State Assets affiliated with eligible New York colleges or universities. START-UP NY Approval Board members may designate representatives to act on their behalf during their absence. START-UP NY Approval Board members must remain disinterested, and recuse themselves where appropriate.

4) The regulation establishes eligibility criteria for Tax-Free Areas. Eligibility of vacant land and space varies based on whether it is affiliated with a SUNY college, CUNY college, community college, or private college, and whether the land or space in question is located upstate, downstate, or in New York City. The regulation prohibits any allocation of land or space that would result in the closure or relocation of any program or service associated with a university or college that serves students, faculty, or staff.

5) The regulation establishes eligibility requirements for businesses to participate in the START-UP program, and enumerates excluded industries. To be eligible, a business must: be a new business to the State at the time of its application, subject to exceptions for NYS incubators, businesses restoring previously relocated jobs, and businesses the Commissioner has determined will create net new jobs; comply with applicable worker protection, environmental, and tax laws; align with the academic mission of the sponsoring institution (the Sponsor); demonstrate that it will create net new jobs in its first year of operation; and not be engaged in the same line of business that it conducted at any time within the last five years in New York without the approval of the Commissioner. Businesses locating downstate must be in the formative stages of development, or engaged in a high tech business. To remain eligible, the business must, at a minimum, maintain net new jobs and the average number of jobs that existed with the business immediately before entering the program.

6) The regulation describes the application process for approval of a Tax-Free Area. An eligible institution may submit a plan to the Commissioner identifying land or space to be designated as a Tax-Free Area. This plan must: identify precisely the location of the applicable land or space; describe business activities to be conducted on the land or space; establish that the business activities in question align with the mission of the institution; indicate how the business would generate positive community and economic benefits; summarize the Sponsor's procedures for attracting businesses; include a copy of the institution's conflict of interest guidelines; attest that the proposed Tax-Free Area will not jeopardize or conflict with any existing tax-exempt bonds used to finance the Sponsor; and certify that the Sponsor has not relocated or eliminated programs serving students, faculty, or staff to create the vacant land. Applications by private institutions require approval by both the Commissioner and START-UP NY Approval Board. The START-UP NY Approval Board is to approve applications so as to ensure balance among rural, urban and suburban areas throughout the state.

7) A sponsor applying to create a Tax-Free Area must provide a copy of its plan to the chief executive officer of any municipality in which the proposed Tax-Free Area is located, local economic development entities, the applicable university or college faculty senate, union representatives and the campus student government. Where the plan includes land or space outside of the campus boundaries of the university or college, the institution must consult with the chief executive officer of any municipality in which the proposed Tax-Free Area is to be located, and give preference to underutilized properties identified through this consultation. The Commissioner may enter onto any land or space identified in a plan, or audit any information supporting a plan application, as part of his or her duties in administering the START-UP program.

8) The regulation provides that amendments to approved plans may be made at any time through the same procedures as such plans were originally approved. Amendments that would violate the terms of a lease between a sponsor and a business in a Tax-Free Area will not be approved. Sponsors may amend their plans to reallocate vacant land or space in the case that a business, located in a Tax-Free Area, is disqualified from the program but elects to remain on the property.

9) The regulation describes application and eligibility requirements for businesses to participate in the START-UP program. Businesses are to submit applications to sponsoring universities and colleges by 12/31/20. An applicant must: (1) authorize the Department of Labor (DOL) and Department of Taxation and Finance (DTF) to share the applicant's tax information with the Department of Economic Development (DED); (2) allow DED to monitor the applicant's compliance with the START-UP program; (3) provide to DED, upon request, information related to its business organization, tax returns, investment plans, development strategy, and non-competition with any businesses in the community but

outside of the Tax-Free Area; (4) certify efforts to ascertain that the business would not compete with another business in the same community but outside the Tax-Free Area, including an affidavit that notice regarding the application was published in a daily publication no fewer than five consecutive days; (5) include a statement of performance benchmarks as to new jobs to be created through the applicant's participation in START-UP; (6) provide a statement of consequences for non-conformance with the performance benchmarks, including proportional recovery of tax benefits when the business fails to meet job creation benchmarks in up to three years of a ten-year plan, and removal from the program for failure to meet job creation benchmarks in at least four years of a ten-year plan; (7) identify information submitted to DED that the business deems confidential, proprietary, or a trade secret. Sponsors forward applications deemed to meet eligibility requirements to the Commissioner for further review. The Commissioner shall reject any application that does not satisfy the START-UP program eligibility requirements or purpose, and provide written notice of the rejection to the Sponsor. The Commissioner may approve an application anytime after receipt; if the Commissioner approves the application, the business applicant is deemed accepted into the START-UP NY Program and can locate to the Sponsor's Tax-Free NY Area. Applications not rejected will be deemed accepted after sixty days. The Commissioner is to provide documentation of acceptance to successful applicants.

10) The regulation allows a business to amend a successful application at any time in accordance with the procedure of its original application. No amendment will be approved that would contain terms in conflict with a lease between a business and a SUNY college when the lease was included in the original application.

11) The regulation permits a business that has been rejected from the START-UP program to locate within a Tax-Free Area without being eligible for START-UP program benefits, or to reapply within sixty days via a written request identifying the reasons for rejection and offering verified factual information addressing the reasoning of the rejection. Failure to reapply within sixty days waives the applicant's right to resubmit. Upon receipt of a timely resubmission, the Commissioner may use any resources to assess the claim, and must notify the applicant of his or her determination within sixty days. Disapproval of a reapplication is final and non-appealable.

12) With respect to audits, the regulation requires businesses to provide access to DED, DTF, and DOL to all records relating to facilities located in Tax-Free Areas at a business location within the State during normal business hours. DED, DTF, and DOL are to take reasonable steps to prevent public disclosure of information pursuant to Section 87 of the Public Officers Law where the business has timely informed the appropriate officials, the records in question have been properly identified, and the request is reasonable.

13) The regulation provides for the removal of a business from the program under a variety of circumstances, including violation of New York law, material misrepresentation of facts in its application to the START-UP program, or relocation from a Tax-Free Area. Upon removing a business from the START-UP program, the Commissioner is to notify the business and its Sponsor of the decision in writing. This removal notice provides the basis for the removal decision, the effective removal date, and the means by which the affected business may appeal the removal decision. A business shall be deemed served three days after notice is sent. Following a final decision, or waiver of the right to appeal by the business, DED is to forward a copy of the removal notice to DTF, and the business is not to receive further tax benefits under the START-UP program.

14) To appeal removal from the START-UP program, a business must send written notice of appeal to the Commissioner within thirty days from the mailing of the removal notice. The notice of appeal must contain specific factual information and all legal arguments that form the basis of the appeal. The appeal is to be adjudicated in the first instance by an appeal officer who, in reaching his or her decision, may seek information from outside sources, or require the parties to provide more information. The appeal officer is to prepare a report and make recommendations to the Commissioner. The Commissioner shall render a final decision based upon the appeal officer's report, and provide reasons for any findings of fact or law that conflict with those of the appeal officer.

15) With regard to disclosure authorization, businesses applying to participate in the START-UP program authorize the Commissioner to disclose any information contained in their application, including the projected new jobs to be created.

16) In order to assess business performance under the START-UP program, the Commissioner may require participating businesses to submit annual reports within thirty days at the end of their taxable year describing the businesses' continued satisfaction of eligibility requirements, jobs data, an accounting of wages paid to employees in net new jobs, and any other information the Commissioner may require. The Commissioner shall prepare annual reports on the START-UP program for the Governor and

publication on the DED website, beginning April 1, 2015. Information contained in businesses' annual reports may be published in these reports or otherwise disseminated.

17) The Freedom of Information Law is applicable to the START-UP program, subject to disclosure waivers to protect certain proprietary information submitted in support of an application to the START-UP program.

18) All businesses must keep relevant records throughout their participation in the START-UP program, plus three years. DED has the right to inspect all such documents upon reasonable notice.

19) If the Commissioner determines that a business has acted fraudulently in connection with its participation in the START-UP program, the business shall be immediately terminated from the program, subject to criminal penalties, and liable for taxes that would have been levied against the business during the current year.

20) The regulation requires participating universities and colleges to maintain a conflict of interest policy relevant to issues that may arise during the START-UP program, and to report violations of said policies to the Commissioner for publication.

This notice is intended to serve only as an emergency adoption, to be valid for 90 days or less. This rule expires March 8, 2015.

Text of rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from: Phillip Harmonick, New York State Department of Economic Development, 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12207, (518) 292-5112, email: pharmonick@esd.ny.gov

Regulatory Impact Statement

STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

Chapter 68 of the Laws of 2013 requires the Commissioner of Economic Development to promulgate rules and regulations to establish procedures for the implementation and execution of the SUNY Tax-free Areas to Revitalize and Transform UPstate New York program (START-UP NY). These procedures include, but are not limited to, the application processes for both academic institutions wishing to create Tax-Free NY Areas and businesses wishing to participate in the START-UP NY program, standards for evaluating applications, and any other provisions the Commissioner deems necessary and appropriate.

LEGISLATIVE OBJECTIVES:

The proposed rule is in accord with the public policy objectives the New York State Legislature sought to advance by enacting the START-UP NY program, which provides an incentive to businesses to locate critical high-tech industries in New York State as opposed to other competitive markets in the U.S. and abroad. It is the public policy of the State to establish Tax-Free Areas affiliated with New York universities and colleges, and to afford significant tax benefits to businesses, and the employees of those businesses, that locate within these Tax-Free Areas. The tax benefits are designed to attract and retain innovative start-ups and high-tech industries, and secure for New York the economic activity they generate. The proposed rule helps to further such objectives by establishing the application process for the program, clarifying the nature of eligible businesses and facilities, and describing key provisions of the START-UP NY program.

NEEDS AND BENEFITS:

The emergency rule is necessary in order to implement the statute contained in Article 21 of the Economic Development Law, creating the START-UP NY program. The statute directs the Commissioner of Economic Development to establish procedures for the implementation and execution of the START-UP NY program.

Upstate New York has faced longstanding economic challenges due in part to the departure of major business actors from the region. This divestment from upstate New York has left the economic potential of the region unrealized, and left many upstate New Yorkers unemployed.

START-UP NY will promote economic development and job creation in New York, particularly the upstate region, through tax benefits conditioned on locating business facilities in Tax-Free NY Areas. Attracting start-ups and high-tech industries is critical to restoring the economy of upstate New York, and to positioning the state as a whole to be competitive in a globalized economy. These goals cannot be achieved without first establishing procedures by which to admit businesses into the START-UP NY program.

The proposed regulation establishes procedures and standards for the implementation of the START-UP program, especially rules for the creation of Tax-Free NY Areas, application procedures for the admission of businesses into the program, and eligibility requirements for continued receipt of START-UP NY benefits for admitted businesses. These rules allow for the prompt and efficient commencement of the START-UP NY program, ensure accountability of business participants, and promote the general welfare of New Yorkers.

The emergency regulations clarify several items. In Section 220.4(b), language was modified to clarify that the START-UP NY Approval Board reviews and approves Plans for approval as a Tax-Free NY Area from

certain, not all, SUNY, CUNY, or community college campuses seeking designation of Tax-Free NY Areas as described in Section 220.5.

In Section 220.7 and 220.8, the regulations have been clarified to permit schools to submit information identifying the space or land proposed for designation in digital formats approved by the Commissioner. This change affords greater flexibility in view of the digital mapping software and other related resources available to different schools.

Section 220.10(k) was clarified to note that, upon receipt of an application from a business to participate in the START-UP NY Program, the Commissioner may approve the application anytime after receipt; if the Commissioner approves the application, the business applicant is deemed accepted into the START-UP NY Program and can locate to the Sponsor's Tax-Free NY Area. If the Commissioner does not reject the application within 60 days, the business applicant is deemed accepted into the Program.

COSTS:

I. Costs to private regulated parties (the business applicants): None. The proposed regulation will not impose any additional costs to eligible business applicants.

II. Costs to the regulating agency for the implementation and continued administration of the rule: None.

III. Costs to the State government: None.

IV. Costs to local governments: None.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT MANDATES:

The rule establishes certain property tax benefits for businesses locating in Tax-Free NY Areas that may impact local governments. However, as described in the accompanying statement in lieu of a regulatory flexibility analysis for small businesses and local governments, the program is expected to have a net-positive impact on local government.

PAPERWORK:

The rule establishes application and eligibility requirements for Tax-Free NY Areas proposed by universities and colleges, and participating businesses. These regulations establish paperwork burdens that include materials to be submitted as part of applications, documents that must be submitted to maintain eligibility, and information that must be retained for auditing purposes.

DUPLICATION:

The proposed rule will create a new section of the existing regulations of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Part 220 of 5 NYCRR. Accordingly, there is no risk of duplication in the adoption of the proposed rule.

ALTERNATIVES:

No alternatives were considered in regard to creating a new regulation in response to the statutory requirement. The regulation implements the statutory requirements of the START-UP NY program regarding the application process for creation of Tax-Free NY Areas and certification as an eligible business. This action is necessary in order to clarify program participation requirements and is required by the legislation establishing the START-UP NY program.

FEDERAL STANDARDS:

There are no federal standards applicable to the START-UP NY program; it is purely a State program that offers tax benefits to eligible businesses and their employees. Therefore, the proposed rule does not exceed any federal standard.

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE:

The affected State agency (Department of Economic Development) and the business applicants will be able to achieve compliance with the regulation as soon as it is implemented.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Participation in the START-UP NY program is entirely at the discretion of qualifying business that may choose to locate in Tax-Free NY Areas. Neither statute nor the proposed regulations impose any obligation on any business entity to participate in the program. Rather than impose burdens on small business, the program is designed to provide substantial tax benefits to start-up businesses locating in New York, while providing protections to existing businesses against the threat of tax-privileged start-up companies locating in the same community. Local governments may not be able to collect tax revenues from businesses locating in certain Tax-Free NY Areas. However, the regulation is expected to have a net-positive impact on local governments in light of the substantial economic activity associated with businesses locating their facilities in these communities.

Because it is evident from the nature of the proposed rule that it will have a net-positive impact on small businesses and local government, no further affirmative steps were needed to ascertain that fact and none were taken. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis for small business and local government is not required and one has not been prepared.

Rural Area Flexibility Analysis

The START-UP NY program is open to participation from any business that meets the eligibility requirements, and is organized as a corporation,

partnership, limited liability company, or sole proprietorship. A business's decision to locate its facilities in a Tax-Free NY Area associated with a rural university or college would be no impediment to participation; in fact, START-UP NY allocates space for Tax-Free NY Areas specifically to the upstate region which contains many of New York's rural areas. Furthermore, START-UP NY specifically calls for the balanced allocation of space for Tax-Free NY Areas between eligible rural, urban, and suburban areas in the state. Thus, the regulation will not have a substantial adverse economic impact on rural areas, and instead has the potential to generate significant economic activity in upstate rural areas designated as Tax-Free NY Areas. Accordingly, a rural flexibility analysis is not required and one has not been prepared.

Job Impact Statement

The regulation establishes procedures and standards for the administration of the START-UP NY program. START-UP NY creates tax-free areas designed to attract innovative start-ups and high-tech industries to New York so as to stimulate economic activity and create jobs. The regulation will not have a substantial adverse impact on jobs and employment opportunities; rather, the program is focused on creating jobs. Because it is evident from the nature of the rulemaking that it will have either no impact or a positive impact on job and employment opportunities, no further affirmative steps were needed to ascertain that fact and none were taken. Accordingly, a job impact statement is not required and one has not been prepared.

NOTICE OF ADOPTION

Empire State Film Production Tax Credit Program

I.D. No. EDV-06-14-00003-A

Filing No. 986

Filing Date: 2014-12-05

Effective Date: 2014-12-24

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following action:

Action taken: Amendment of Part 170 of Title 5 NYCRR.

Statutory authority: L. 2004, ch. 60 as amended by L. 2009, ch. 57 and L. 2010; L. 2012, ch. 268; and L. 2013, ch. 59

Subject: Empire state film production tax credit program.

Purpose: Update administrative procedures and increase administrative flexibility to improve efficiency of program.

Text or summary was published in the February 12, 2014 issue of the Register, I.D. No. EDV-06-14-00003-P.

Final rule as compared with last published rule: No changes.

Revised rule making(s) were previously published in the State Register on September 3, 2014.

Text of rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from: Thomas P. Regan, NYS Department of Economic Development, 625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12245, (518) 292-5123, email: tregan@esd.ny.gov

Revised Regulatory Impact Statement

Changes made to the last published rule do not necessitate revision to the previously published Regulatory Impact Statement. The changes made represent clarification of issues that do not impact the regulatory impact statement.

Revised Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Changes made to the last published rule do not necessitate revision to the previously published Statement in Lieu of Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for small business and local governments. The changes made represent clarification of issues that do not impact the statement.

Revised Rural Area Flexibility Analysis

Changes made to the last published rule do not necessitate revision to the previously published Statement in Lieu of Rural Area Flexibility Analysis. The changes made represent clarification of issues that do not impact the statement.

Revised Job Impact Statement

Changes made to the last published rule do not necessitate revision to the previously published Statement in Lieu of Job Impact Survey. The changes made represent clarification of issues that do not impact the statement.

Initial Review of Rule

As a rule that does not require a RFA, RAFA or JIS, this rule will be initially reviewed in the calendar year 2018, which is no later than the 5th year after the year in which this rule is being adopted.

Assessment of Public Comment

Definitions

Comment: Under the definition of "pre-production," wages paid to line producers should not be excluded as qualified pre-production costs.

Answer: The Department has determined that line producers, in light of their role in developing budgets and direct involvement with key production decisions affecting the creative aspects of filmmaking, are producers. Accordingly, the wages of line producers are not "production costs" per Tax Law § 24(b)(2) and shall not constitute qualified pre-production costs.

Comment: The definition of "qualified film production company" should be revised so as to define such entity or individual as being in control for the New York portion of the production.

Answer: The existing definition has not presented a barrier to participation in the program for qualified films produced both inside and outside of New York State. Accordingly, the Department does not believe that the proposed change is necessary to achieve the objectives of the program.

Department of Financial Services

EMERGENCY RULE MAKING

Business Conduct of Mortgage Loan Servicers

I.D. No. DFS-51-14-00003-E

Filing No. 990

Filing Date: 2014-12-08

Effective Date: 2014-12-09

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following action:

Action taken: Addition of Part 419 to Title 3 NYCRR.

Statutory authority: Banking Law, art. 12-D

Finding of necessity for emergency rule: Preservation of general welfare.

Specific reasons underlying the finding of necessity: The legislature required the registration of mortgage loan servicers as part of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law of 2008 (Ch. 472, Laws of 2008, hereinafter, the "Mortgage Lending Reform Law") to help address the existing foreclosure crisis in the state. By registering servicers and requiring that servicers engage in the business of mortgage loan servicing in compliance with rules and regulations adopted by the Superintendent, the legislature intended to help ensure that servicers conduct their business in a manner acceptable to the Department. However, since the passage of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law, foreclosures continue to pose a significant threat to New York homeowners. The Department continues to receive complaints from homeowners and housing advocates that mortgage loan servicers' response to delinquencies and their efforts at loss mitigation are inadequate. These rules are intended to provide clear guidance to mortgage loan servicers as to the procedures and standards they should follow with respect to loan delinquencies. The rules impose a duty of fair dealing on loan servicers in their communications, transactions and other dealings with borrowers. In addition, the rule sets standards with respect to the handling of loan delinquencies and loss mitigation. The rule further requires specific reporting on the status of delinquent loans with the Department so that it has the information necessary to assess loan servicers' performance.

In addition to addressing the pressing issue of mortgage loan delinquencies and loss mitigation, the rule addresses other areas of significant concern to homeowners, including the handling of borrower complaints and inquiries, the payment of taxes and insurance, crediting of payments and handling of late payments, payoff balances and servicer fees. The rule also sets forth prohibited practices such as engaging in deceptive practices or placing homeowners' insurance on property when the servicer has reason to know that the homeowner has an effective policy for such insurance.

Subject: Business conduct of mortgage loan servicers.

Purpose: To implement the purpose and provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law of 2008 with respect to mortgage loan servicers.

Substance of emergency rule: Section 419.1 contains definitions of terms that are used in Part 419 and not otherwise defined in Part 418, including "Servicer", "Qualified Written Request" and "Loan Modification".

Section 419.2 establishes a duty of fair dealing for Servicers in connection with their transactions with borrowers, which includes a duty to pursue loss mitigation with the borrower as set forth in Section 419.11.

Section 419.3 requires compliance with other State and Federal laws relating to mortgage loan servicing, including Banking Law Article 12-D, RESPA, and the Truth-in-Lending Act.

Section 419.4 describes the requirements and procedures for handling to consumer complaints and inquiries.

Section 419.5 describes the requirements for a servicer making payments of taxes or insurance premiums for borrowers.

Section 419.6 describes requirements for crediting payments from borrowers and handling late payments.

Section 419.7 describes the requirements of an annual account statement which must be provided to borrowers in plain language showing the unpaid principal balance at the end of the preceding 12-month period, the interest paid during that period and the amounts deposited into and disbursed from escrow. The section also describes the Servicer's obligations with respect to providing a payment history when requested by the borrower or borrower's representative.

Section 419.8 requires a late payment notice be sent to a borrower no later than 17 days after the payment remains unpaid.

Section 419.9 describes the required provision of a payoff statement that contains a clear, understandable and accurate statement of the total amount that is required to pay off the mortgage loan as of a specified date.

Section 419.10 sets forth the requirements relating to fees permitted to be collected by Servicers and also requires Servicers to maintain and update at least semi-annually a schedule of standard or common fees on their website.

Section 419.11 sets forth the Servicer's obligations with respect to handling of loan delinquencies and loss mitigation, including an obligation to make reasonable and good faith efforts to pursue appropriate loss mitigation options, including loan modifications. This Section includes requirements relating to procedures and protocols for handling loss mitigation, providing borrowers with information regarding the Servicer's loss mitigation process, decision-making and available counseling programs and resources.

Section 419.12 describes the quarterly reports that the Superintendent may require Servicers to submit to the Superintendent, including information relating to the aggregate number of mortgages serviced by the Servicer, the number of mortgages in default, information relating to loss mitigation activities, and information relating to mortgage modifications.

Section 419.13 describes the books and records that Servicers are required to maintain as well as other reports the Superintendent may require Servicers to file in order to determine whether the Servicer is complying with applicable laws and regulations. These include books and records regarding loan payments received, communications with borrowers, financial reports and audited financial statements.

Section 419.14 sets forth the activities prohibited by the regulation, including engaging in misrepresentations or material omissions and placing insurance on a mortgage property without written notice when the Servicer has reason to know the homeowner has an effective policy in place.

This notice is intended to serve only as a notice of emergency adoption. This agency intends to adopt this emergency rule as a permanent rule and will publish a notice of proposed rule making in the *State Register* at some future date. The emergency rule will expire March 7, 2015.

Text of rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from: Hadas A. Jacobi, NYS Department of Financial Services, 1 State Street, New York, NY 10004, (212) 480-5890, email: hadas.jacobi@dfs.ny.gov

Regulatory Impact Statement

1. Statutory authority.

Article 12-D of the Banking Law, as amended by the Legislature in the Mortgage Lending Reform Law of 2008 (Ch. 472, Laws of 2008, hereinafter, the "Mortgage Lending Reform Law"), creates a framework for the regulation of mortgage loan servicers. Mortgage loan servicers are individuals or entities which engage in the business of servicing mortgage loans for residential real property located in New York. That legislation also authorizes the adoption of regulations implementing its provisions. (See, e.g., Banking Law Sections 590(2) (b-1) and 595-b.)

Subsection (1) of Section 590 of the Banking Law was amended by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law to add the definitions of "mortgage loan servicer" and "servicing mortgage loans". (Section 590(1)(h) and Section 590(1)(i).)

A new paragraph (b-1) was added to Subdivision (2) of Section 590 of the Banking Law. This new paragraph prohibits a person or entity from engaging in the business of servicing mortgage loans without first being registered with the Superintendent. The registration requirements do not apply to an "exempt organization," licensed mortgage banker or registered mortgage broker.

This new paragraph also authorizes the Superintendent to refuse to register an MLS on the same grounds as he or she may refuse to register a mortgage broker under Banking Law Section 592-a(2).

Subsection (3) of Section 590 was amended by the Subprime Law to clarify the power of the banking board to promulgate rules and regulations and to extend the rulemaking authority regarding regulations for the protection of consumers and regulations to define improper or fraudulent business practices to cover mortgage loan servicers, as well as mortgage bankers, mortgage brokers and exempt organizations. The functions and powers of the banking board have since been transferred to the Superintendent of Financial Services, pursuant to Part A of Chapter 62 of the Laws of 2011, Section 89.

New Paragraph (d) was added to Subsection (5) of Section 590 by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law and requires mortgage loan servicers to engage in the servicing business in conformity with the Banking Law, such rules and regulations as may be promulgated by the Banking Board or prescribed by the Superintendent, and all applicable federal laws, rules and regulations.

New Subsection (1) of Section 595-b was added by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law and requires the Superintendent to promulgate regulations and policies governing the grounds to impose a fine or penalty with respect to the activities of a mortgage loan servicer. Also, the Mortgage Lending Reform Law amends the penalty provision of Subdivision (1) of Section 598 to apply to mortgage loan servicers as well as to other entities.

New Subdivision (2) of Section 595-b was added by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law and authorizes the Superintendent to prescribe regulations relating to disclosure to borrowers of interest rate resets, requirements for providing payoff statements, and governing the timing of crediting of payments made by the borrower.

Section 596 was amended by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law to extend the Superintendent's examination authority over licensees and registrants to cover mortgage loan servicers. The provisions of Banking Law Section 36(10) making examination reports confidential are also extended to cover mortgage loan servicers.

Similarly, the books and records requirements in Section 597 covering licensees, registrants and exempt organizations were amended by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law to cover servicers and a provision was added authorizing the Superintendent to require that servicers file annual reports or other regular or special reports.

The power of the Superintendent to require regulated entities to appear and explain apparent violations of law and regulations was extended by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law to cover mortgage loan servicers (Subdivision (1) of Section 39), as was the power to order the discontinuance of unauthorized or unsafe practices (Subdivision (2) of Section 39) and to order that accounts be kept in a prescribed manner (Subdivision (5) of Section 39).

Finally, mortgage loan servicers were added to the list of entities subject to the Superintendent's power to impose monetary penalties for violations of a law, regulation or order. (Paragraph (a) of Subdivision (1) of Section 44).

The fee amounts for mortgage loan servicer registration and branch applications are established in accordance with Banking Law Section 18-a.

2. Legislative objectives.

The Mortgage Lending Reform Law was intended to address various problems related to residential mortgage loans in this State. The law reflects the view of the Legislature that consumers would be better protected by the supervision of mortgage loan servicing. Even though mortgage loan servicers perform a central function in the mortgage industry, there had previously been no general regulation of servicers by the state or the Federal government.

The Mortgage Lending Reform Law requires that entities be registered with the Superintendent in order to engage in the business of servicing mortgage loans in this state. The new law further requires mortgage loan servicers to engage in the business of servicing mortgage loans in conformity with the rules and regulations promulgated by the Banking Board and the Superintendent.

The mortgage servicing statute has two main components: (i) the first component addresses the registration requirement for persons engaged in the business of servicing mortgage loans; and (ii) the second authorizes the Superintendent to promulgate appropriate rules and regulations for the regulation of servicers in this state.

Part 418 of the Superintendent's Regulations, initially adopted on an emergency basis on July 1 2009, addresses the first component of the mortgage servicing statute by setting standards and procedures for applications for registration as a mortgage loan servicer, for approving and denying applications to be registered as a mortgage loan servicer, for approving changes of control, for suspending, terminating or revoking the registration of a mortgage loan servicer as well as setting financial responsibility standards for mortgage loan servicers.

Part 419 addresses the business practices of mortgage loan servicers in connection with their servicing of residential mortgage loans. This part addresses the obligations of mortgage loan servicers in their communications, transactions and general dealings with borrowers, including the

handling of consumer complaints and inquiries, handling of escrow payments, crediting of payments, charging of fees, loss mitigation procedures and provision of payment histories and payoff statements. This part also imposes certain recordkeeping and reporting requirements in order to enable the Superintendent to monitor services' conduct and prohibits certain practices such as engaging in deceptive business practices.

Collectively, the provisions of Part 418 and 419 implement the intent of the Legislature to register and supervise mortgage loan servicers.

3. Needs and benefits.

The Mortgage Lending Reform Law adopted a multifaceted approach to the lack of supervision of the mortgage loan industry, particularly with respect to servicing and foreclosure. It addressed a variety of areas in the residential mortgage loan industry, including: i. loan originations; ii. loan foreclosures; and iii. the conduct of business by residential mortgage loans servicers.

Until July 1, 2009, when the mortgage loan servicer registration provisions first became effective, the Department regulated the brokering and making of mortgage loans, but not the servicing of these mortgage loans. Servicing is vital part of the residential mortgage loan industry; it involves the collection of mortgage payments from borrowers and remittance of the same to owners of mortgage loans; to governmental agencies for taxes; and to insurance companies for insurance premiums. Mortgage servicers also act as agents for owners of mortgages in negotiations relating to loss mitigation when a mortgage becomes delinquent. As "middlemen," moreover, servicers also play an important role when a property is foreclosed upon. For example, the servicer may typically act on behalf of the owner of the loan in the foreclosure proceeding.

Further, unlike in the case of a mortgage broker or a mortgage lender, borrowers cannot "shop around" for loan servicers, and generally have no input in deciding what company services their loans. The absence of the ability to select a servicer obviously raises concerns over the character and viability of these entities given the central part of they play in the mortgage industry. There also is evidence that some servicers may have provided poor customer service. Specific examples of these activities include: pyramiding late fees; misapplying escrow payments; imposing illegal prepayment penalties; not providing timely and clear information to borrowers; erroneously force-placing insurance when borrowers already have insurance; and failing to engage in prompt and appropriate loss mitigation efforts.

More than 2,000,000 loans on residential one-to-four family properties are being serviced in New York. Of these over 9% were seriously delinquent as of the first quarter of 2012. Despite various initiatives adopted at the state level and the creation of federal programs such as Making Home Affordable to encourage loan modifications and help at risk homeowners, the number of loans modified, have not kept pace with the number of foreclosures. Foreclosures impose costs not only on borrowers and lenders but also on neighboring homeowners, cities and towns. They drive down home prices, diminish tax revenues and have adverse social consequences and costs.

As noted above, Part 418, initially adopted on an emergency basis on July 1 2009, relates to the first component of the mortgage servicing statute – the registration of mortgage loan servicers. It was intended to ensure that only those persons and entities with adequate financial support and sound character and general fitness will be permitted to register as mortgage loan servicers. It also provided for the suspension, revocation and termination of licenses involved in wrongdoing and establishes minimum financial standards for mortgage loan servicers.

Part 419 addresses the business practices of mortgage loan servicers and establishes certain consumer protections for homeowners whose residential mortgage loans are being serviced. These regulations provide standards and procedures for servicers to follow in their course of dealings with borrowers, including the handling of borrower complaints and inquiries, payment of taxes and insurance premiums, crediting of borrower payments, provision of annual statements of the borrower's account, authorized fees, late charges and handling of loan delinquencies and loss mitigation. Part 419 also identifies practices that are prohibited and imposes certain reporting and record-keeping requirements to enable the Superintendent to determine the servicer's compliance with applicable laws, its financial condition and the status of its servicing portfolio.

Since the adoption of Part 418, 67 entities have been approved for registration or have pending applications and nearly 400 entities have indicated that they are a mortgage banker, broker, bank or other organization exempt from the registration requirements.

All Exempt Organizations, mortgage bankers and mortgage brokers that perform mortgage loan servicing with respect to New York mortgages must notify the Superintendent that they do so, and are required to comply with the conduct of business and consumer protection rules applicable to mortgage loan servicers.

These regulations will improve accountability and the quality of service in the mortgage loan industry and will help promote alternatives to foreclosure in the state.

4. Costs.

The requirements of Part 419 do not impose any direct costs on mortgage loan servicers. Although mortgage loan servicers may incur some additional costs as a result of complying with Part 419, the overwhelming majority of mortgage loan servicers are banks, operating subsidiaries or affiliates of banks, large independent servicers or other financial services entities that service millions, and even billions, of dollars in loans and have the experience, resources and systems to comply with these requirements. Moreover, any additional costs are likely to be mitigated by the fact that many of the requirements of Part 419, including those relating to the handling of residential mortgage delinquencies and loss mitigation (419.11) and quarterly reporting (419.12), are consistent with or substantially similar to standards found in other federal or state laws, federal mortgage modification programs or servicers own protocols.

For example, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which own or insure approximately 90% of the nation's securitized mortgage loans, have similar guidelines governing various aspects of mortgage servicing, including handling of loan delinquencies. In addition, over 100 mortgage loan servicers participate in the federal Making Home Affordable (MHA) program which requires adherence to standards for handling of loan delinquencies and loss mitigation similar to those contained in these regulations. Those servicers not participating in MHA have, for the most part, adopted programs which parallel many components of MHA.

Reporting on loan delinquencies and loss mitigation has likewise become increasingly common. The OCC publish quarterly reports on credit performance, loss mitigation efforts and foreclosures based on data provided by national banks and thrifts. And, states such as Maryland and North Carolina have adopted similar reporting requirements to those contained in section 419.12.

Many of the other requirements of Part 419 such as those related to handling of taxes, insurance and escrow payments, collection of late fees and charges, crediting of payments derive from federal or state laws and reflect best industry practices. The periodic reporting and bookkeeping and record keeping requirements are also standard among financial services businesses, including mortgage bankers and brokers (see, for example section 410 of the Superintendent's Regulations).

The ability by the Department to regulate mortgage loan servicers is expected to reduce costs associated with responding to consumers' complaints, decrease unnecessary expenses borne by mortgagors, and should assist in decreasing the number of foreclosures in this state.

The regulations will not result in any fiscal implications to the State. The Department is funded by the regulated financial services industry. Fees charged to the industry will be adjusted periodically to cover Department expenses incurred in carrying out this regulatory responsibility.

5. Local government mandates.

None.

6. Paperwork.

Part 419 requires mortgage loan servicers to keep books and records related to its servicing for a period of three years and to produce quarterly reports and financial statements as well as annual and other reports requested by the Superintendent. It is anticipated that the quarterly reporting relating to mortgage loan servicing will be done electronically and would therefore be virtually paperless. The other recordkeeping and reporting requirements are consistent with standards generally required of mortgage bankers and brokers and other regulated financial services entities.

7. Duplication.

The regulation does not duplicate, overlap or conflict with any other regulations. The various federal laws that touch upon aspects of mortgage loan servicing are noted in Section 9 "Federal Standards" below.

8. Alternatives.

The Mortgage Lending Reform Law required the registration of mortgage loan servicers and empowered the Superintendent to prescribe rules and regulations to guide the business of mortgage servicing. The purpose of the regulation is to carry out this statutory mandate to register mortgage loan servicers and regulate the manner in which they conduct business. The Department circulated a proposed draft of Part 419 and received comments from and met with industry and consumer groups. The current Part 419 reflects the input received. The alternative to these regulations is to do nothing or to wait for the newly created federal bureau of consumer protection to promulgate national rules, which could take years, may not happen at all or may not address all the practices covered by the rule. Thus, neither of those alternatives would effectuate the intent of the legislature to address the current foreclosure crisis, help at-risk homeowners vis-à-vis their loan servicers and ensure that mortgage loan servicers engage in fair and appropriate servicing practices.

9. Federal standards.

Currently, mortgage loan servicers are not required to be registered by any federal agencies, and there are no comprehensive federal rules governing mortgage loan servicing. Federal laws such as the Real Estate Settle-

ment Procedures Act of 1974, 12 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq. and regulations adopted thereunder, 24 C.F.R. Part 3500, and the Truth-in-Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1600 et seq. and Regulation Z adopted thereunder, 12 C.F.R. section 226 et seq., govern some aspects of mortgage loan servicing, and there have been some recent amendments to those laws and regulations regarding mortgage loan servicing. For example, Regulation Z, 12 C.F.R. section 226.36(c), was recently amended to address the crediting of payments, imposition of late charges and the provision of payoff statements. In addition, the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) establishes requirements for the handling of escrow accounts, obtaining force-placed insurance, responding to borrower requests and providing information related to the owner of the loan.

Additionally, the newly created Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection established by the Dodd-Frank Act may soon propose additional regulations for mortgage loan servicers.

10. Compliance schedule.

Similar emergency regulations first became effective on October 1, 2010.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

1. Effect of the Rule:

The rule will not have any impact on local governments. The Mortgage Lending Reform Law of 2008 (Ch. 472, Laws of 2008, hereinafter, the "Mortgage Lending Reform Law") requires all mortgage loan servicers, whether registered or exempt from registration under the law, to service mortgage loans in accordance with the rules and regulations promulgated by the Banking Board or Superintendent. The functions and powers of the Banking Board have since been transferred to the Superintendent of Financial Services, pursuant to Part A of Chapter 62 of the Laws of 2011, Section 89. Of the 67 entities which have been approved for registration or have pending applications and the nearly 400 entities which have indicated that they are exempt from the registration requirements, it is estimated that very few are small businesses.

2. Compliance Requirements:

The provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law relating to mortgage loan servicers has two main components: it requires the registration by the Department of servicers who are not a bank, mortgage banker, mortgage broker or other exempt organizations (the "MLS Registration Regulations"), and it authorizes the Department to promulgate rules and regulations that are necessary and appropriate for the protection of consumers, to define improper or fraudulent business practices, or otherwise appropriate for the effective administration of the provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law relating to mortgage loan servicers (the "Mortgage Loan Servicer Business Conduct Regulations").

The provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law requiring registration of mortgage loan servicers which are not mortgage bankers, mortgage brokers or exempt organizations became effective on July 1, 2009. Part 418 of the Superintendent's Regulations, initially adopted on an emergency basis on July 1 2009, sets for the standards and procedures for applications for registration as a mortgage loan servicer, for approving and denying applications to be registered as a mortgage loan servicer, for approving changes of control, for suspending, terminating or revoking the registration of a mortgage loan servicer as well as the financial responsibility standards for mortgage loan servicers.

Part 419 implements the provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law by setting the standards by which mortgage loan servicers conduct the business of mortgage loan servicing. The rule sets the standards for handling complaints, payments of taxes and insurance, crediting of borrower payments, late payments, account statements, delinquencies and loss mitigation, fees and recordkeeping.

3. Professional Services:

None.

4. Compliance Costs:

The requirements of Part 419 do not impose any direct costs on mortgage loan servicers. Although mortgage loan servicers may incur some additional costs as a result of complying with Part 419, the overwhelming majority of mortgage loan servicers are banks, operating subsidiaries or affiliates of banks, large independent servicers or other financial services entities that service millions, and even billions, of dollars in loans and have the experience, resources and systems to comply with these requirements. Moreover, any additional costs are likely to be mitigated by the fact that many of the requirements of Part 419, including those relating to the handling of residential mortgage delinquencies and loss mitigation (419.11) and quarterly reporting (419.12), are consistent with or substantially similar to standards found in other federal or state laws, federal mortgage modification programs or servicers own protocols.

For example, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which own or insure approximately 90% of the nation's securitized mortgage loans, have similar guidelines governing various aspects of mortgage servicing, including handling of loan delinquencies. In addition, over 100 mortgage loan

servicers participate in the federal Making Home Affordable (MHA) program which requires adherence to standards for handling of loan delinquencies and loss mitigation similar to those contained in these regulations. Those servicers not participating in MHA have, for the most part, adopted programs which parallel many components of MHA.

Reporting on loan delinquencies and loss mitigation has likewise become increasingly common. The OCC publishes quarterly reports on credit performance, loss mitigation efforts and foreclosures based on data provided by national banks and thrifts. And, states such as Maryland and North Carolina have adopted similar reporting requirements to those contained in section 419.12.

Many of the other requirements of Part 419 such as those related to handling of taxes, insurance and escrow payments, collection of late fees and charges, crediting of payments derive from federal or state laws and reflect best industry practices. The periodic reporting and bookkeeping and record keeping requirements are also standard among financial services businesses, including mortgage bankers and brokers (see, for example section 410 of the Superintendent's Regulations).

Compliance with the rule should improve the servicing of residential mortgage loans in New York, including the handling of mortgage delinquencies, help prevent unnecessary foreclosures and reduce consumer complaints regarding the servicing of residential mortgage loans.

5. Economic and Technological Feasibility:

For the reasons noted in Section 4 above, the rule should impose no adverse economic or technological burden on mortgage loan servicers that are small businesses.

6. Minimizing Adverse Impacts:

As noted in Section 1 above, most servicers are not small businesses. Many of the requirements contained in the rule derive from federal or state laws, existing servicer guidelines utilized by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and best industry practices.

Moreover, the ability by the Department to regulate mortgage loan servicers is expected to reduce costs associated with responding to consumers' complaints, decrease unnecessary expenses borne by mortgagors, help borrowers at risk of foreclosure and decrease the number of foreclosures in this state.

7. Small Business and Local Government Participation:

The Department distributed a draft of proposed Part 419 to industry representatives, received industry comments on the proposed rule and met with industry representatives in person. The Department likewise distributed a draft of proposed Part 419 to consumer groups, received their comments on the proposed rule and met with consumer representatives to discuss the proposed rule in person. The rule reflects the input received from both industry and consumer groups.

Rural Area Flexibility Analysis

Types and Estimated Numbers: Since the adoption of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law of 2008 (Ch. 472, Laws of 2008, hereinafter, the "Mortgage Lending Reform Law"), which required mortgage loan servicers to be registered with the Department unless exempted under the law, 67 entities have pending applications or have been approved for registration and nearly 400 entities have indicated that they are a mortgage banker, broker, bank or other organization exempt from the registration requirements. Only one of the non-exempt entities applying for registration is located in New York and operating in a rural area. Of the exempt organizations, all of which are required to comply with the conduct of business contained in Part 419, approximately 400 are located in New York, including several in rural areas. However, the overwhelming majority of exempt organizations, regardless of where located, are banks or credit unions that are already regulated and are thus familiar with complying with the types of requirements contained in this regulation.

Compliance Requirements: The provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law relating to mortgage loan servicers has two main components: it requires the registration by the Department of servicers that are not a bank, mortgage banker, mortgage broker or other exempt organization (the "MLS Registration Regulations"), and it authorizes the Department to promulgate rules and regulations that are necessary and appropriate for the protection of consumers, to define improper or fraudulent business practices, or otherwise appropriate for the effective administration of the provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law relating to mortgage loan servicers (the "MLS Business Conduct Regulations").

The provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law of 2008 requiring registration of mortgage loan servicers which are not mortgage bankers, mortgage brokers or exempt organizations became effective on July 1, 2009. Part 418 of the Superintendent's Regulations, initially adopted on an emergency basis on July 1, 2010, sets forth the standards and procedures for applications for registration as a mortgage loan servicer, for approving and denying applications to be registered as a mortgage loan servicer, for approving changes of control, for suspending, terminating or revoking the registration of a mortgage loan servicer as well as the financial responsibility standards for mortgage loan servicers.

Part 419 implements the provisions of the Mortgage Lending Reform Law of 2008 by setting the standards by which mortgage loan servicers conduct the business of mortgage loan servicing. The rule sets the standards for handling complaints, payments of taxes and insurance, crediting borrower payments, late payments, account statements, delinquencies and loss mitigation and fees. This part also imposes certain recordkeeping and reporting requirements in order to enable the Superintendent to monitor services' conduct and prohibits certain practices such as engaging in deceptive business practices.

Costs: The requirements of Part 419 do not impose any direct costs on mortgage loan servicers. The periodic reporting requirements of Part 419 are consistent with those imposed on other regulated entities. In addition, many of the other requirements of Part 419, such as those related to the handling of loan delinquencies, taxes, insurance and escrow payments, collection of late fees and charges and crediting of payments, derive from federal or state laws, current federal loan modification programs, servicing guidelines utilized by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac or servicers' own protocols. Although mortgage loan servicers may incur some additional costs as a result of complying with Part 419, the overwhelming majority of mortgage loan servicers are banks, credit unions, operating subsidiaries or affiliates of banks, large independent servicers or other financial services entities that service millions, and even billions, of dollars in loans and have the experience, resources and systems to comply with these requirements. Of the 67 entities that have been approved for registration or that have pending applications, only one is located in a rural area of New York State. Of the few exempt organizations located in rural areas of New York, virtually all are banks or credit unions. Moreover, compliance with the rule should improve the servicing of residential mortgage loans in New York, including the handling of mortgage delinquencies, help prevent unnecessary foreclosures and reduce consumer complaints regarding the servicing of residential mortgage loans.

Minimizing Adverse Impacts: As noted in the "Costs" section above, while mortgage loan servicers may incur some higher costs as a result of complying with the rules, the Department does not believe that the rule will impose any meaningful adverse economic impact upon private or public entities in rural areas. In addition, it should be noted that Part 418, which establishes the application and financial requirements for mortgage loan servicers, authorizes the Superintendent to reduce or waive the otherwise applicable financial responsibility requirements in the case of mortgage loans servicers that service not more than 12 mortgage loans or more than \$5,000,000 in aggregate mortgage loans in New York and which do not collect tax or insurance payments. The Superintendent is also authorized to reduce or waive the financial responsibility requirements in other cases for good cause. The Department believes that this will ameliorate any burden on mortgage loan servicers operating in rural areas.

Rural Area Participation: The Department issued a draft of Part 419 in December 2009 and held meetings with and received comments from industry and consumer groups following the release of the draft rule. The Department also maintains continuous contact with large segments of the servicing industry through its regulation of mortgage bankers and brokers and its work in the area of foreclosure prevention. The Department likewise maintains close contact with a variety of consumer groups through its community outreach programs and foreclosure mitigation programs. The Department has utilized this knowledge base in drafting the regulation.

Job Impact Statement

Article 12-D of the Banking Law, as amended by the Mortgage Lending Reform Law (Ch. 472, Laws of 2008), requires persons and entities which engage in the business of servicing mortgage loans after July 1, 2009 to be registered with the Superintendent. Part 418 of the Superintendent's Regulations, initially adopted on an emergency basis on July 1, 2009, sets forth the application, exemption and approval procedures for registration as a mortgage loan servicer, as well as financial responsibility requirements for applicants, registrants and exempted persons.

Part 419 addresses the business practices of mortgage loan servicers in connection with their servicing of residential mortgage loans. Thus, this part addresses the obligations of mortgage loan servicers in their communications, transactions and general dealings with borrowers, including the handling of consumer complaints and inquiries, handling of escrow payments, crediting of payments, charging of fees, loss mitigation procedures and provision of payment histories and payoff statements. This part also imposes certain recordkeeping and reporting requirements in order to enable the Superintendent to monitor services' conduct and prohibits certain practices such as engaging in deceptive business practices.

Compliance with Part 419 is not expected to have a significant adverse effect on jobs or employment activities within the mortgage loan servicing industry. The vast majority of mortgage loan servicers are sophisticated financial entities that service millions, if not billions, of dollars in loans and have the experience, resources and systems to comply with the requirements of the rule. Moreover, many of the requirements of the rule

reflect derive from federal or state laws and reflect existing best industry practices.

Department of Health

EMERGENCY RULE MAKING

Personal Care Services Program (PCSP) and Consumer Directed Personal Assistance Program (CDPAP)

I.D. No. HLT-51-14-00002-E

Filing No. 987

Filing Date: 2014-12-08

Effective Date: 2014-12-08

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following action:

Action taken: Amendment of sections 505.14 and 505.28 of Title 18 NYCRR.

Statutory authority: Public Health Law, section 201(1)(v); and Social Services Law, sections 363-a(2), 365-a(2)(e) and 365-f

Finding of necessity for emergency rule: Preservation of public health.

Specific reasons underlying the finding of necessity: Pursuant to the authority vested in the Commissioner of Health by Social Services Law § 365-a(2)(e), the Commissioner is authorized to adopt standards, pursuant to emergency regulation, for the provision and management of services for individuals whose need for such services exceeds a specified level to be determined by the Commissioner.

Subject: Personal Care Services Program (PCSP) and Consumer Directed Personal Assistance Program (CDPAP).

Purpose: To establish definitions, criteria and requirements associated with the provision of continuous PC and continuous CDPA services.

Text of emergency rule: Paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of section 505.14 is repealed and a new paragraph (3) is added to read as follows:

(3) *Continuous personal care services means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one person, for more than 16 hours per day for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition and disabilities, requires total assistance with toileting, walking, transferring or feeding at times that cannot be predicted.*

Paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) of section 505.14 is amended by adding new subparagraph (iii) to read as follows:

(iii) *Personal care services shall not be authorized if the patient's need for assistance can be met by either or both of the following:*

(a) *voluntary assistance available from informal caregivers including, but not limited to, the patient's family, friends or other responsible adult; or formal services provided by an entity or agency; or*

(b) *adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers and wheelchairs, when such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively.*

Paragraph (5) of subdivision (a) of section 505.14 is repealed and a new paragraph (5) is added to read as follows:

(5) *Live-in 24-hour personal care services means the provision of care by one person for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition and disabilities, requires some or total assistance with one or more personal care functions during the day and night and whose need for assistance during the night is infrequent or can be predicted.*

Clause (b) of subparagraph (i) of paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of section 505.14 is amended to read as follows:

(b) The [initial] authorization for Level I services shall not exceed eight hours per week. [An exception to this requirement may be made under the following conditions:

(1) The patient requires some or total assistance with meal preparation, including simple modified diets, as a result of the following conditions:

(i) informal caregivers such as family and friends are unavailable, unable or unwilling to provide such assistance or are unacceptable to the patient; and

(ii) community resources to provide meals are unavailable or inaccessible, or inappropriate because of the patient's dietary needs.

(2) In such a situation, the local social services department may authorize up to four additional hours of service per week.]

Clause (b) of subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of section 505.14 is amended to read as follows:

(b) When continuous [24-hour care] *personal care services* is indicated, additional requirements for the provision of services, as specified in clause (b)(4)(i)(c) of this section, must be met.

Clause (c) of subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 505.14 is relettered as clause (d) and a new clause (c) is added to read as follows:

(c) *When live-in 24-hour personal care services is indicated, the social assessment shall evaluate whether the patient's home has adequate sleeping accommodations for a personal care aide.*

Subclauses (5) and (6) of clause (b) of subparagraph (iii) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 505.14 are renumbered as subclauses (6) and (7), and new subclause (5) is added to read as follows:

(5) *an evaluation whether adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers and wheelchairs, can meet the patient's need for assistance with personal care functions, and whether such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively;*

Subclause (7) of clause (a) of subparagraph (iv) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 505.14 is amended to read as follows:

(7) whether the patient can be served appropriately and more cost-effectively by using *adaptive or specialized medical equipment or supplies* covered by the MA program including, but not limited to, *bedside commodes, urinals, walkers, wheelchairs and insulin pens*; and

Clause (c) of subparagraph (iv) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 505.14 is amended to read as follows:

(c) A social services district may determine that the assessments required by subclauses (a)(1) through (6) and (8) of this subparagraph may be included in the social assessment or the nursing assessment.

Clause (c) of subparagraph (i) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (b) of section 505.14 is amended to read as follows:

(c) the case involves the provision of continuous [24-hour] personal care services as defined in paragraph (a)(3) of this section. Documentation for such cases shall be subject to the following requirements:

Subclause (2) of clause (c) of subparagraph (i) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (b) of section 505.14 is amended to read as follows:

(2) The nursing assessment shall document that: the functions required by the patient[,] ; the degree of assistance required for each function, *including that the patient requires total assistance with toileting, walking, transferring or feeding*; and the time of this assistance require the provision of continuous [24-hour care] *personal care services*.

Subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (b) of section 505.14 is amended to read as follows:

(ii) The local professional director, or designee, must review the physician's order and the social, nursing and other required assessments in accordance with the standards for levels of services set forth in subdivision (a) of this section, and is responsible for the final determination of the level and amount of care to be provided. *The local professional director or designee may consult with the patient's treating physician and may conduct an additional assessment of the patient in the home.* The final determination must be made [within five working days of the request] *with reasonable promptness, generally not to exceed seven business days after receipt of the physician's order and the completed social and nursing assessments, except in unusual circumstances including, but not limited to, the need to resolve any outstanding questions regarding the level, amount or duration of services to be authorized.*

Paragraph (4) of subdivision (b) of section 505.28 is amended to read as follows:

(4) "continuous [24-hour] consumer directed personal assistance" means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one consumer directed personal assistant, *for more than 16 hours per day* for a consumer who, because of the consumer's medical condition [or] *and disabilities*, requires total assistance with toileting, walking, transferring or feeding at [unscheduled times during the day and night] *at times that cannot be predicted.*

Paragraphs (8) through (13) of subdivision (b) of section 505.28 are renumbered as paragraphs (9) through (14) and the renumbered paragraph (9) is amended to read as follows:

(9) "personal care services" means the nutritional and environmental support functions, personal care functions, or both such functions, that are specified in Section 505.14(a)(6) of this Part *except that, for individuals whose needs are limited to nutritional and environmental support functions, personal care services shall not exceed eight hours per week.*

A new paragraph (8) of subdivision (b) of section 505.28 is added to read as follows:

(8) "live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistance" means the provision of care by one consumer directed personal assistant for a consumer who, because of the consumer's medical condition and disabilities, requires some or total assistance with personal care functions, home health aide services or skilled nursing tasks during the day and

night and whose need for assistance during the night is infrequent or can be predicted.

Subparagraph (iii) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of section 505.28 is amended, and new subparagraphs (iv) and (v) of such paragraph are added, to read as follows:

(iii) an evaluation of the potential contribution of informal supports, such as family members or friends, to the individual's care, which must consider the number and kind of informal supports available to the individual; the ability and motivation of informal supports to assist in care; the extent of informal supports' potential involvement; the availability of informal supports for future assistance; and the acceptability to the individual of the informal supports' involvement in his or her care [.] *and;*

(iv) *for cases involving continuous consumer directed personal assistance, documentation that: all alternative arrangements for meeting the individual's medical needs have been explored or are infeasible including, but not limited to, the provision of consumer directed personal assistance in combination with other formal services or in combination with contributions of informal caregivers; and*

(v) *for cases involving live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistance, an evaluation whether the individual's home has adequate sleeping accommodations for a consumer directed personal assistant.*

Subparagraph (i) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (d) of section 505.28 is repealed and a new subparagraph (i) is added to read as follows:

(i) *The nursing assessment must be completed by a registered professional nurse who is employed by the social services district or by a licensed or certified home care services agency or voluntary or proprietary agency under contract with the district.*

Clauses (g) and (h) of subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (d) of section 505.28 are relettered as clauses (h) and (i) and a new clause (g) is added to read as follows:

(g) *for continuous consumer directed personal assistance cases, documentation that: the functions the consumer requires; the degree of assistance required for each function, including that the consumer requires total assistance with toileting, walking, transferring or feeding; and the time of this assistance require the provision of continuous consumer directed personal assistance;*

Paragraph (5) of subdivision (d) of section 505.28 is amended to read as follows:

(5) Local professional director review. If there is a disagreement among the physician's order, nursing and social assessments, or a question regarding the level, amount or duration of services to be authorized, or if the case involves continuous [24-hour] consumer directed personal assistance, an independent medical review of the case must be completed by the local professional director, a physician designated by the local professional director or a physician under contract with the social services district. The local professional director or designee must review the physician's order and the nursing and social assessments and is responsible for the final determination regarding the level and amount of services to be authorized. *The local professional director or designee may consult with the consumer's treating physician and may conduct an additional assessment of the consumer in the home.* The final determination must be made with reasonable promptness, generally not to exceed [five] seven business days after receipt of the physician's order and the completed social and nursing assessments, except in unusual circumstances including, but not limited to, the need to resolve any outstanding questions regarding the level, amount or duration of services to be authorized.

Paragraph (1) of subdivision (e) of section 505.28 is amended to read as follows:

(1) When the social services district determines pursuant to the assessment process that the individual is eligible to participate in the consumer directed personal assistance program, the district must authorize consumer directed personal assistance according to the consumer's plan of care. The district must not authorize consumer directed personal assistance unless it reasonably expects that such assistance can maintain the individual's health and safety in the home or other setting in which consumer directed personal assistance may be provided. *Consumer directed personal assistance shall not be authorized if the consumer's need for assistance can be met by either or both of the following:*

(i) *voluntary assistance available from informal caregivers including, but not limited to, the consumer's family, friends or other responsible adult; or formal services provided by an entity or agency; or*

(ii) *adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers and wheelchairs, when such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively.*

This notice is intended to serve only as a notice of emergency adoption. This agency intends to adopt this emergency rule as a permanent rule and will publish a notice of proposed rule making in the *State Register* at some future date. The emergency rule will expire March 7, 2015.

Text of rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from: Katherine Ceroalo, DOH, Bureau of House Counsel, Reg. Affairs Unit, Room 2438, ESP Tower Building, Albany, NY 12237, (518) 473-7488, email: regsqa@health.ny.gov

Regulatory Impact Statement**Statutory Authority:**

Social Services Law (“SSL”) § 363-a(2) and Public Health Law § 201(1)(v) provide that the Department has general rulemaking authority to adopt regulations to implement the Medicaid program.

The Commissioner has specific rulemaking authority under SSL § 365-a(2)(e)(ii) to adopt standards, pursuant to emergency regulation, for the provision and management of personal care services for individuals whose need for such services exceeds a specified level to be determined by the Commissioner.

Under SSL § 365-a(2)(e)(iv), personal care services shall not exceed eight hours per week for individuals whose needs are limited to nutritional and environmental support functions.

Legislative Objectives:

The Legislature sought to reform the Medicaid personal care services program by controlling expenditure growth and promoting self-sufficiency.

The Legislature authorized the Commissioner of Health to adopt standards for the provision and management of personal care services for Medicaid recipients whose need for such services exceeds a specified level. The regulations adopt such standards for Medicaid recipients who seek continuous personal care services or continuous consumer directed personal assistance for more than 16 hours per day.

The Legislature additionally sought to promote the goal of self-sufficiency among Medicaid recipients who do not need hands-on assistance with personal care functions such as bathing, toileting or transferring. It determined that recipients whose need for personal care services is limited to nutritional and environmental support functions, such as shopping, laundry and light housekeeping, could receive no more than eight hours per week of such assistance.

Needs and Benefits:

The regulations have two general purposes: to conform the Department’s personal care services and consumer directed personal assistance program (CDPAP) regulations to State law limiting the amount of services that can be authorized for individuals who require assistance only with nutritional and environmental support functions; and, to implement State law authorizing the Department to adopt standards for the provision and management of personal care services for individuals whose need for such services exceeds a specified level that the Commissioner may determine.

The term “nutritional and environmental support functions” refers to housekeeping tasks including, but not limited to, laundry, shopping and meal preparation. Department regulations refer to these support functions as “Level I” personal care services. Department regulations have long provided that social services districts cannot initially authorize Level I services for more than eight hours per week; however, an exception permitted authorizations for Level I services to exceed eight hours per week under certain circumstances.

The Legislature has nullified this regulatory exception. The regulations conform the Department’s personal care services regulations to the new State law. They repeal the regulatory exception that permitted social services districts to authorize up to 12 hours of Level I services per week, capping such authorizations at no more than eight hours per week.

The regulations similarly amend the Department’s CDPAP regulations. Some CDPAP participants are authorized to receive only assistance with nutritional and environmental support functions. Since personal care services are included within the CDPAP, it is consistent with the Legislature’s intent to extend the eight hour weekly cap on nutritional and environmental services to that program.

The regulations also implement the Department’s specific statutory authority to adopt standards pursuant to emergency regulation for the provision and management of personal care services for individuals whose need for such services exceeds a specified level. The Commissioner has determined to adopt such standards for individuals whose need for continuous personal care services or continuous consumer directed personal assistance exceeds 16 hours per day.

The regulations repeal the definition of “continuous 24-hour personal care services,” replacing it with a definition of “continuous personal care services.” The prior definition applied to individuals who required total assistance with certain personal care functions for 24 hours at unscheduled times during the day and night. The new definition applies to individuals who require such assistance for more than 16 hours per day at times that cannot be predicted.

Cases in which continuous personal care services are indicated must be referred to the local professional director or designee. Such referrals would now be required in additional cases: those involving provision of continuous care for more than 16 hours per day.

The regulations permit the local professional director or designee to consult with the recipient’s treating physician and conduct an additional assessment of the recipient in the home.

The regulations amend the documentation requirements for nursing assessments in continuous personal care services cases.

The regulations add a definition of live-in 24 hour personal care services. This level of service has long existed, primarily in New York City, but has never been explicitly set forth in the Department’s regulations. The regulations also require that, for recipients who may be eligible for such services, the social assessment evaluate whether the recipient’s home has adequate sleeping accommodations for the live-in aide.

The regulations provide that personal care services shall not be authorized when the recipient’s need for assistance can be met by the voluntary assistance of informal caregivers or by formal services or by adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies that can be provided safely and cost-effectively. The regulations require that the nursing assessments that districts currently complete or obtain include an evaluation whether adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies can meet the recipient’s need for assistance and whether such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively.

The regulations adopt conforming amendments to the Department’s CDPAP regulations.

Costs to Regulated Parties:

Regulated parties include entities that voluntarily contract with social services districts to provide personal care services to, or to perform certain CDPAP functions for, Medicaid recipients. These entities include licensed home care services agencies, agencies that are exempt from licensure, and CDPAP fiscal intermediaries.

Social services districts may no longer authorize certain Medicaid recipients to receive more than eight hours per week of assistance with nutritional and environmental support functions. To the extent that regulated parties were formerly reimbursed for more than eight hours per week for these services, their Medicaid revenue will decrease. This is a consequence of State law, not the regulations. The regulations do not impose any additional costs on these regulated parties.

Costs to State Government:

The regulations impose no additional costs on State government.

The statutory cap on nutritional and environmental support functions will result in cost-savings to the State share of Medicaid expenditures. The estimated annual personal care services and CDPAP cost-savings for subsequent State fiscal years are approximately \$3.4 million.

This estimate is based on 2010 recipient and expenditure data for the personal care services program. According to such data, 2,377 New York City recipients received more than eight hours per week of Level I services, the average being 11 weekly hours of such service. The number of Level I hours that exceeded eight hours per week was thus approximately 370,800 hours (2,377 recipients x 3 hours per week x 52 weeks). Multiplying this hourly total by the 2010 average hourly New York City personal care aide cost (\$17.30) results in total annual savings of \$6.4, or \$3.2 million in State share savings. Application of this calculation to the Rest of State recipient and expenditure data yields an additional \$200,000 in State share savings, or \$3.4 million.

State Medicaid cost-savings are also projected to occur as a result of changes to continuous personal care services authorizations. It is not possible to accurately estimate such savings. However, the Department anticipates that most recipients currently authorized for continuous 24-hour personal care services will continue to receive that level of care. Others may be authorized for continuous services for 16 hours per day or live-in 24 hour personal care services. Still others may be authorized for services for more than 16 hours per day but fewer than 24 hours per day.

The estimated State share savings for this portion of the regulations are \$33.1 million. This comprises approximately \$17.1 million in personal care savings and \$15.9 million in CDPAP savings. This estimate is based on 2010 personal care services and CDPAP recipient and expenditure data. In 2010, 1,809 Medicaid recipients were authorized to receive more than 16 hours of services per day. The assumption is that these recipients were authorized for continuous 24-hour services, which has an average annual per person cost of approximately \$166,000. Assuming that 20 percent were authorized for live-in 24-hour services at an average annual per person cost of approximately \$83,000, and 15 percent were authorized for 16 hours per day at an average hourly cost of between approximately \$17.00 and \$22.00, depending on service and location, the annual State share savings per recipient would range from approximately \$28,000 to \$35,000.

Costs to Local Government:

The regulation will not require social services districts to incur new costs. State law limits the amount that districts must pay for Medicaid services provided to district recipients. Districts may claim State reimbursement for any costs they may incur when administering the Medicaid program.

Costs to the Department of Health:

There will be no additional costs to the Department.

Local Government Mandates:

The regulations require social services districts to refer additional cases to their local professional directors or designees. Currently, the regula-

tions require that such referrals be made for continuous 24 hour care and certain other cases. Under the proposed regulations, such referrals must also be made for recipients who may require continuous services for more than 16 hours.

Paperwork:

The regulations specify additional documentation requirements for the social and nursing assessments that districts currently complete or obtain for personal care services and CDPAP applicants and recipients. For persons who may be eligible for live-in 24 hour services, the social assessment must evaluate whether the recipient’s home has adequate sleeping accommodations for the live-in aide. The nursing assessments for all personal care services and CDPAP cases, including those not involving continuous services, must include an evaluation whether adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies can meet the recipient’s need for assistance and whether such equipment or supplies can be used safely and cost-effectively. The amendments to the CDPAP regulations also specify additional documentation requirements for the social and nursing assessments for cases involving continuous consumer directed personal assistance. These requirements mirror long-standing documentation requirements in the personal care services regulations.

Duplication:

The regulations do not duplicate any existing federal, state or local regulations.

Alternatives:

With respect to the regulation that caps authorizations for nutritional and environmental support functions to eight hours per week, no alternatives exist. The regulation must conform to State law that imposes this weekly cap. With respect to the regulation that establishes new requirements for continuous services, alternatives existed but were not now pursued. One such alternative may be the repeal of the regulatory authorization for continuous 24-hour services. The Department determined to promulgate further regulatory controls regarding the provision and management of continuous services, rather than repeal such services in their entirety.

Federal Standards:

This rule does not exceed any minimum federal standards.

Compliance Schedule:

The Department has issued instructions to social services districts advising them of the new State law that limits nutritional and environmental support functions to no more than eight hours per week for certain recipients. Districts should not now be authorizing more than eight hours per week of such assistance and should thus be able to comply with the regulations when they become effective. With regard to the remaining regulations, social services districts should be able to comply with the regulations when they become effective. For applicants, social services districts would apply the regulations when assessing applicants’ eligibility for personal care services and the CDPAP. For current recipients, districts would apply the regulations upon reassessing these recipients’ continued eligibility for services.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Effect of Rule:

The regulation limiting authorizations of nutritional and environmental support functions to no more than eight hours per week primarily affects licensed home care services agencies and exempt agencies that provide only such Level I services. These entities are the primary employers of individuals providing Level I services. Most recipients of Level I personal care services are located in New York City. There are currently eight Level I only personal care service providers in New York City, none of which employ fewer than 100 persons.

Fiscal intermediaries that are enrolled as Medicaid providers and that facilitate payments for the nutritional and environmental support functions provided to consumer directed personal assistance program (CDPAP) participants may also experience slight reductions in service hours reimbursed. There are approximately 46 fiscal intermediaries that contract with social services districts. Fiscal intermediaries are typically non-profit entities such as independent living centers but may also include home care services agencies.

With respect to continuous care, a significant majority of existing 24-hour a day continuous care cases are located in New York City. There are currently 60 Level II personal care service providers in New York City, none of which employ fewer than 100 persons.

The regulations also affect social services districts. There are 62 counties in New York State, but only 58 social services districts. The City of New York comprises five counties but is one social services district.

Compliance Requirements:

Social services districts currently assess whether Medicaid recipients are eligible for personal care services and the CDPAP. When 24 hour continuous care is indicated, districts are currently required to refer such cases to the local professional director or designee for final determination. The regulations would require districts to refer additional continuous care

cases to the local professional director or designee; namely, those cases in which continuous care for more than 16 hours a day is indicated would also be referred to the local professional director or designee. The local professional director or designee would be required to consult with the recipient’s treating physician before approving continuous care for more than 16 hours per day.

In addition, the nursing assessments that districts currently complete or obtain for personal care services and CDPAP applicants and recipients would be required to include an evaluation of whether adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies would be appropriate and could be safely and cost-effectively provided. In cases involving the authorization of live-in 24 hour services, the social assessments that districts currently are required to complete would have to include an evaluation whether the recipient’s home had sufficient sleeping accommodations for a live-in aide.

Professional Services:

No new or additional professional services are required in order to comply with the rule.

Compliance Costs:

No capital costs will be imposed as a result of this rule, nor are there any annual costs of compliance.

Economic and Technological Feasibility:

There are no additional economic costs or technology requirements associated with this rule.

Minimizing Adverse Impact:

The regulations should not have an adverse economic impact on social services districts. Districts currently assess Medicaid recipients to determine whether they are eligible for personal care services or the CDPAP. The regulations modify these assessment procedures. Should districts incur administrative costs to comply with the regulation, they may seek State reimbursement for such costs.

Small businesses providing Level I personal care services and consumer directed environmental and nutritional support functions may experience slight reductions in service hours provided. This is a consequence of State law limiting these services to no more than eight hours per week.

Small businesses currently providing continuous 24-hour services may experience some reductions in service hours provided.

Small Business and Local Government Participation:

The Department solicited comments on the regulations from the New York City Human Resources Administration, which administers the personal care services program and CDPAP for New York City Medicaid recipients who are not enrolled in managed care. Most of the State’s personal care services and CDPAP recipients reside in New York City. Personal care services provided to New York City recipients comprises approximately 84 percent of Medicaid personal care services expenditures.

Small business and local governments also have the opportunity to provide input into the redesign of New York State’s Medicaid program. The Medicaid Redesign Team (MRT) was tasked by Governor Cuomo to find ways to reduce costs and increase quality and efficiency in the Medicaid program for the 2011-12 Fiscal Year. As part of its work, the MRT sought and continues to seek ideas from the public at large, as well as experts in health care delivery and insurance, the health care workforce, economics, business, consumer rights and other relevant areas. The MRT conducted regional public hearings across the State to solicit ideas from the public on ways to reduce costs and improve the quality of the Medicaid program. Additionally, a web page was established, providing a vehicle for all individuals and organizations to provide ideas, comments and recommendations.

Cure Period:

Chapter 524 of the Laws of 2011 requires agencies to include a “cure period” or other opportunity for ameliorative action to prevent the imposition of penalties on the party or parties subject to enforcement when developing a regulation or explain in the Regulatory Flexibility Analysis why one was not included. This regulation creates no new penalty or sanction. Hence, a cure period is not necessary.

Rural Area Flexibility Analysis

Types and Estimated Numbers of Rural Areas:

Rural areas are defined as counties with populations less than 200,000 and, for counties with populations greater than 200,000, include towns with population densities of 150 persons or less per square mile. In 2010, only 6% of all continuous care cases resided in the counties listed below. Currently there are 34 organizations which maintain contracts with local districts to provide consumer directed environmental and nutritional support functions, and 50 individual licensed home care services agencies which maintain contracts with local districts to provide Level I personal care services, within the following 43 counties having populations of less than 200,000:

Allegany	Hamilton	Schenectady
Cattaraugus	Herkimer	Schoharie

Cayuga	Jefferson	Schuyler
Chautauqua	Lewis	Seneca
Chemung	Livingston	Steuben
Chenango	Madison	Sullivan
Clinton	Montgomery	Tioga
Columbia	Ontario	Tompkins
Cortland	Orleans	Ulster
Delaware	Oswego	Warren
Essex	Otsego	Washington
Franklin	Putnam	Wayne
Fulton	Rensselaer	Wyoming
Genesee	St. Lawrence	Yates
Greene		

Reporting, Recordkeeping and Other Compliance Requirements and Professional Services:

Social services districts would be required to refer additional cases to their local professional directors or designees. Currently, the personal care services and CDPAP regulations require that such referrals be made for recipients seeking continuous 24-hour services and in certain other cases. Under the regulations, such referrals must also be made for recipients who require continuous care for more than 16 hours. The regulations also specify additional documentation requirements for the social and nursing assessments that districts currently complete or obtain for personal care services and CDPAP applicants and recipients.

Costs:

There are no new capital or additional operating costs associated with the rule.

Minimizing Adverse Impact:

It is anticipated the rule will have minimal impact on rural areas as the Department has determined that the preponderance of Level I services in excess of eight hours per week occur in downstate urban areas. Additionally, in 2010, only 6% of all individuals receiving continuous care services resided in those counties listed above. To the extent that social services districts incur administrative costs to comply with the regulations' requirements for referral of continuous care cases and social and nursing assessment documentation requirements, they may seek State reimbursement of such expenses.

Rural Area Participation:

Individuals and organizations from rural areas have the opportunity to provide input into the redesign of New York State's Medicaid program. The Medicaid Redesign Team (MRT) is tasked by Governor Cuomo to find ways to reduce costs and increase quality and efficiency in the Medicaid program for the 2011-12 Fiscal Year. As part of its work, the MRT sought and continues to seek ideas from the public at large, as well as experts in health care delivery and insurance, the health care workforce, economics, business, consumer rights and other relevant areas. The MRT conducted regional public hearings across the State to solicit ideas from the public on ways to reduce costs and improve the quality of the Medicaid program. Additionally, a web page was established, providing a vehicle for all individuals and organizations to provide ideas, comments and recommendations.

Job Impact Statement

No Job Impact Statement is required pursuant to section 201-a(2)(a) of the State Administrative Procedure Act. It is apparent, from the nature of the proposed amendment, that it will not have a substantial adverse impact on jobs and employment opportunities.

Department of Motor Vehicles

**PROPOSED RULE MAKING
NO HEARING(S) SCHEDULED**

Colored Lights on Fire Vehicles, Ambulances, Emergency Ambulance Service Vehicles and County Emergency Medical Service Vehicles

I.D. No. MTV-51-14-00001-P

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following proposed rule:

Proposed Action: This is a consensus rule making to amend section 44.4 of Title 15 NYCRR.

Statutory authority: Vehicle and Traffic Law, sections 215(a) and 375(41)
Subject: Colored lights on fire vehicles, ambulances, emergency ambulance service vehicles and county emergency medical service vehicles.

Purpose: To make a technical correction to align the regulation with the statute.

Text of proposed rule: Paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of section 44.4 is amended to read as follows:

(1) One or more blue lights or combination blue and red lights or combination blue, red and white lights may be affixed to a police vehicle, fire vehicle, ambulance, emergency ambulance service vehicle, and county emergency medical services vehicle, provided that such blue light or lights shall be displayed on such vehicles for rear projection only. In the event that the trunk or rear gate of a police vehicle, fire vehicle, ambulance, emergency ambulance service vehicle and county emergency medical services vehicle obstructs or diminishes the visibility of other emergency lighting on such vehicle, a blue light may be affixed to and displayed from the trunk, rear gate or interior of such vehicle. Such lights may be displayed on a police vehicle, fire vehicle, ambulance, emergency ambulance service vehicle and county emergency medical services vehicle when such vehicle is engaged in an emergency operation. Nothing contained in this subdivision shall be deemed to authorize the use of blue lights on a police vehicle, fire vehicle, ambulance, emergency ambulance service vehicle and county emergency medical services vehicle unless such vehicle also displays one or more red, or combination red and white lights as otherwise authorized in this section.

Text of proposed rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from: Heidi Bazicki, Department of Motor Vehicles, 6 Empire State Plaza, Rm. 522A, Albany, NY 12228, (518) 474-0871, email: heidi.bazicki@dmv.ny.gov

Data, views or arguments may be submitted to: Sean J. Martin, Department of Motor Vehicles, 6 Empire State Plaza, Rm. 522A, Albany, NY 12228, (518) 474-0871, email: sean.martin@dmv.ny.gov

Public comment will be received until: 45 days after publication of this notice.

This rule was not under consideration at the time this agency submitted its Regulatory Agenda for publication in the Register.

Consensus Rule Making Determination

The Department recently amended Part 44.4(1)(a) regarding affixing blue light on certain emergency vehicles. Certain existing language was inadvertently deleted from the text. This amendment reinstates such language.

Since this is a technical amendment, a consensus rule is appropriate.

Job Impact Statement

A JIS is not submitted because this rule will have no adverse impact on job creation or job development in New York State.

Public Service Commission

**PROPOSED RULE MAKING
NO HEARING(S) SCHEDULED**

Petitioner Requests an Order Modifying Its Existing Maintenance Tier Contract Offered Under the RPS Program

I.D. No. PSC-51-14-00005-P

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following proposed rule:

Proposed Action: The Commission is considering a petition filed by ReEnergy Chateaugay, LLC, seeking financial assistance as a maintenance tier resource for its 21-MW biomass electric generating facility, located in the Town of Chateaugay, Franklin County.

Statutory authority: Public Service Law, sections 4(1), 5(2), and 66(1)

Subject: Petitioner requests an order modifying its existing maintenance tier contract offered under the RPS Program.

Purpose: To resume operation of a 21 MW biomass fueled electric generating facility in Chateaugay, New York.

Substance of proposed rule: The Public Service Commission is considering the proposals set forth in a petition dated November 26, 2014, filed by ReEnergy Chateaugay, LLC. The petition seeks an order authorizing the

modification of an existing maintenance tier contract for ReEnergy's 21 MW biomass-fueled electric generating facility located in the Town of Chateaugay, Franklin County, New York. ReEnergy seeks an increase in the amount of RPS support provided for each MWh of energy generated at the facility.

ReEnergy further requests that the Commission reauthorize a maintenance tier award for a period of four years and seven months, which represents the remaining term of the existing contract with the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority plus the length of time that the facility has been idle for economic reasons and not performing during the term of the existing maintenance tier contract. The Commission may adopt, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the relief proposed and may resolve related matters.

Text of proposed rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained by filing a Document Request Form (F-96) located on our website <http://www.dps.ny.gov/f96dir.htm>. For questions, contact: Deborah Swatling, Public Service Commission, 3 Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12223-1350, (518) 486-2659, email:Deborah.Swatling@dps.ny.gov.

Data, views or arguments may be submitted to: Kathleen H. Burgess, Secretary, Public Service Commission, 3 Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12223-1350, (518) 474-6530, email: secretary@dps.ny.gov

Public comment will be received until: 45 days after publication of this notice.

Regulatory Impact Statement, Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, Rural Area Flexibility Analysis and Job Impact Statement

Statements and analyses are not submitted with this notice because the proposed rule is within the definition contained in section 102(2)(a)(ii) of the State Administrative Procedure Act.

(03-E-0188SP52)

PROPOSED RULE MAKING NO HEARING(S) SCHEDULED

Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc's A-Criteria Documents and Criteria

I.D. No. PSC-51-14-00006-P

PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE State Administrative Procedure Act, NOTICE is hereby given of the following proposed rule:

Proposed Action: The Commission is considering whether to adopt, modify, or reject, in whole or in part, the A-Criteria documents and Criteria contained in the Directories of the Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc.

Statutory authority: Public Service Law, sections 4(1), 5(2), 65(1), 66(1), (2), (4) and (5)

Subject: Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc's A-Criteria documents and Criteria.

Purpose: To adopt revisions to various rules and measurements of the Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc.

Substance of proposed rule: The Public Service Commission (Commission) is considering whether to adopt, modify, or reject, in whole or in part, the A-Criteria documents and Criteria contained in the Directories of the Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc., which were filed with the Commission on September 23, 2014.

Text of proposed rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained by filing a Document Request Form (F-96) located on our website <http://www.dps.ny.gov/f96dir.htm>. For questions, contact: Deborah Swatling, Public Service Commission, 3 Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12223-1350, (518) 486-2659, email:deborah.swatling@dps.ny.gov

Data, views or arguments may be submitted to: Kathleen H. Burgess, Secretary, Public Service Commission, 3 Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12223-1350, (518) 474-6530, email: Secretary@dps.ny.gov

Public comment will be received until: 45 days after publication of this notice.

Regulatory Impact Statement, Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, Rural Area Flexibility Analysis and Job Impact Statement

Statements and analyses are not submitted with this notice because the proposed rule is within the definition contained in section 102(2)(a)(ii) of the State Administrative Procedure Act.

(05-E-1180SP15)