SECTION VIII

.

, ,

:

LOCAL COMMITMENT

To insure that the needs and desires of the community were reflected in the local program, the Mayor of Cape Vincent established a Local Waterfront Revitalization Advisory Committee in the fall of 1983. This committee represented the general citizenry and both public and private interests. Members of the Village Board of Trustees and the Planning Board also served on the committee.

The waterfront committee held several meetings to discuss the review sections of the program as they were drafted. Recommendations of the committee on completed sections were transmitted to the Village Board for consideration by the Mayor and Trustees. The Advisory Committee and the Village Board provided significant contributions of time, interest and expertise in the preparation of the program. Citizen input improved the date base, verified program information, and identified critical waterfront issues, problems, and projects. All meetings were held at the village public library and were open to the public.

Following the completion of the draft program, the Advisory Committee formally approved the draft and forwarded it to the Village Board of Trustees. Upon approval by the Village Board, the draft document, including the draft EIS, were submitted to the NYS Department of State for distribution to Federal and State agencies for a 60-day review period, as required by Executive Law, Article 42. At the same time, the draft documents were filed and distributed as required by the SEQRA. As a result, there were a number of comments received from which further revisions and refinements needed in the LWRP were identified. These revisions and refinements were described in the final EIS and incorporated into the final LWRP document. The final program document, then, was adopted by the Village Board of Trustees and submitted to the NYS Secretary of State for approval. APPENDIX A

2

:

. .

•

Definitions

Endangered Species are any species which meet one of the following criteria:

- Any native species in imminent danger of extirpation or extinction in New York.
- (2) Any species listed as endangered by the United States Department of the Interior, as enumerated in the Code of Federal Regulations 50 CFR 17.11.

Threatened Species are any species which meet one of the following criteria:

- Any native species likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future in New York.
- (2) Any species listed as threatened by the United States Department of the Interior, as enumerated in the Code of Federal Regulations 50 CFR 17.11.

Special Concern Species are those native species which are not yet recognized as endangered or threatened, but for which documented concern exists for their continued welfare in New York. These species could become endangered or threatened in the future and should be more closely monitored. Unlike the first two categories, species of special concern receive no additional legal protection under Environmental Conservation Law Section 11-0535. (Endangered and Threatened Species). This category is presented primarily to enhance public awareness of this group of species which bear additional attention.

A-3

APPENDIX B

.

,

.

1

.

:

APPENDIX B

WATERFRONT PROGRAM CONSISTENCY ASSESSMENT FORM

This form is to be completed whenever a public action is proposed to permit, fund, approve, or directly undertake an action or activity which will impact the Coastal Area of the Village of Cape Vincent as defined in the zoning ordinance. The proposed public action described herein shall be reviewed by the Enforcing Officer who shall (1) advise the Village Board that the proposed action(s) is (are) either consistent, inconsistent, or of uncertain consistency with the policies and purposes of the Cape Vincent Local Waterfront Revitalization Program and (2) refer proposed actions which are or may be inconsistent with said policies and purposes to the Village Planning Board for their review and comment to the Village Board.

Public Action:

Related Activity:

For	n completed by:	D	ate	: _			_
		N	Q	Ye	5	Ma	уþе
1.	Does the action or related activity involve development or redevelopment in the coastal area?	(>	۲	>	(>
	Is the action consistent with coastal policies 1, 1A, 2, 4, 5, and 6?	¢	>	()	.()
2.	Does the action or the related activity involve fish or wildlife resources in the coastal area?	(>	()	()
	Is the action consistent with coastal policies 7A, 8, and 9?	()	()	C)
з.	Does the action or the related activity involve flooding or erosion hazards?	()	()	¢)
	Is the action consistent with coastal policies 11,12, 13, 14, 16, and 17?	۲)	()	()
4.	Does the action or the related activity involve public access in the coastal area?	()	<)	()
	Is the action consistent with coastal policies 19, 19A, and 20?	ç)	()	(>
5.	Does the action or the related activity involve recreation in the coastal area?	()	۲)	۲)
	Is the action consistent with coastal policies 21 and 227	(,	()	(>

	N	0	Ye	S	ma	iybe	
Does the action or the related activity involve the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area?	()	()	()	
Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23?	()	()	()	
Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area?	¢)	<)	()	
Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A?	()	<)	()	
Does the action on the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area?	(>	()	¢)	
Is the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28?	(5	٢)	()	
Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area?	۲	>	<	>	()	×
Is the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43?	<)	<)	()	
Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests?	۲)	۲	>	¢)	
Is the action consistent with coastal policy 18?	()	()	۲)	
	<pre>the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area? Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23? Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area? Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A? Does the action or the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area? Is the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28? Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area? Is the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43? Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests?</pre>	Does the action or the related activity involve the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area? (Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23? (Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area? (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A? (Does the action or the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area? (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28? (Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area? (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43? (Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests? (<pre>the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area? () Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23? () Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area? () Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A? () Does the action or the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area? () Is the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28? () Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area? () Is the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43? () Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests? ()</pre>	Does the action or the related activity involve the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area? () (Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23? () (Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area? () (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A? () (Does the action or the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area? () (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28? () (Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area? () (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43? () (Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests? () (Does the action or the related activity involve the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area?() ()Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23?() ()Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area?() ()Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A?() ()Does the action or the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area?() ()Does the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28?() ()Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area?() ()Does the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43?() ()Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests?() ()	Does the action or the related activity involve the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area? () () (Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23? () () (Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area? () () (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A? () () () (Does the action or the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area? () () () (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28? () () () (Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area? () () (Is the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43? () () (Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests? () () () (Does the action or the related activity involve the historic, architectural, archeological or cultural resources of the coastal area? () () () Is the action consistent with coastal policy 23? () () () Does the action or the related activity involve scenic resources in the coastal area? () () () Is the action consistent with coastal policies 25 and 25A? () () () () Does the action or the related activity involve energy resources or ice management in the coastal area? () () () () Is the action consistent with coastal policies 27 and 28? () () () Does the action or the related activity involve water or air resources in the coastal area? () () () Is the action consistent with coastal policies 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,42, & 43? () () () Does the action or the related activity take full consideration of the coastal area's valuable re- sources which contribute to the social, environ- mental, and economic interests? () () () ()

3

•

:

B-4

APPENDIX C

•

•

.

.--

x

:

.

APPENDIX C

GUIDELINES FOR NOTIFICATION AND REVIEW OF STATE AGENCY ACTIONS WHERE LOCAL WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION PROGRAMS ARE IN EFFECT

- I. PURPOSES OF GUIDELINES
 - A. The Waterfront Revitalization and Coastal Resources Act (Article 42 of the Executive Law) and the NYS Department of State's regulations (19 NYCRR Part 600) require certain state agency actions identified by the Secretary of State to be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the policies and purposes of approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Programs (LWRPs). These guidelines are intended to assist state agencies in meeting that statutory consistency obligation.
 - B. The Act also requires that state agencies provide timely notice to the situs local government whenever an identified action will occur within an area covered by an approved LWRP. These guidelines describe a process for complying with this notification requirement. They also provide procedures to assist local governments in carrying out their review responsibilities in a timely manner.
 - C. The Secretary of State is required by the Act to confer with state agencies and local governments when notified by a local government that a proposed state agency may conflict with the policies and purposes of its approved LWRP. These guidelines establish a procedure for resolving such conflicts.

II. DEFINITIONS

- A. <u>Action</u> means:
 - A "Type 1" or "Unlisted" action as defined by the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR);
 - 2. Occurring within the boundaries of an approved LWRP; and
 - Being taken pursuant to a state agency program or activity which has been identified by the Secretary of State as likely to affect the policies and purposes of the LWRP.
- B. <u>Consistent to the maximum extent practicable</u> means that an action will not substantially hinder the achievement of any of the policies and purposes of an approved LWRP and, whenever practicable, will advance one or more of such policies. <u>If an action will substan-</u> tially hinder any of the policies or purposes of an approved LWRP, then the action must be one:
 - For which no reasonable alternatives exist that would avoid or overcome any substantial hindrance;
 - That will minimize all adverse effects on the policies or purposes of the LWRP to the maximum extent practicable; and

C-3

- That will result in an overriding regional or statewide public benefit.
- C. Local Waterfront Revitalization Program or LWRP means a program prepared and adopted by a local government and approved by the Secretary of State pursuant to Executive Law, Article 42; which program contains policies on the management of land, water and man-made resources, proposed land uses and specific projects that are essential to program implementation.

III. NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE

- A. When a state agency is considering an action as described in 11 above, the state agency shall notify the affected local government.
- B. Notification of a proposed action by a state agency:
 - 1. Shall fully describe the nature and location of the acton;
 - Shall be accomplished by use of either the State Clearinghouse, other existing state agency notification procedures, or through any alternative procedure agreed upon by the state agency and local government;
 - 3. Should be provided to the local official identified in the LWRP of the situs local government as early in the planning stages of the action as possible, but in any event at least 30 days prior to the agency's decision on the action. (The timely filing of a copy of a completed Coastal Assessment Form with the local LWRP official should be considered adequate notification of a proposed action.)
- C. If the proposed action will require the preparation of a draft environmental impact statement, the filing of this draft document with the chief executive officer can serve as the state agency's notification to the situs local government.
- IV. LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVIEW PROCEDURE
 - A. Upon receipt of notification from a state agency, the situs local government will be responsible for evaluating a proposed action against the policies and purposes of its approved LWRP. Upon request of the local official identified in the LWRP, the state agency should promptly provide the situs local government with whatever additional information is available which will assist the situs local government to evaluate the proposed action.
 - B. If the situs local government cannot identify any conflicts between the proposed action and the applicable policies and purposes of its approved LWRP, it should inform the state agency in writing of its finding. Upon receipt of the local government's finding, the state agency may proceed with its consideration of the proposed action in accordance with 19 NYCRR Part 600.

- C. If the situs local government does not notify the state agency in writing of its finding within the established review period, the state agency may then presume that the proposed action does not conflict with the policies and purposes of the municipality's approved LWRP.
- D. If the situs local government notifies the state agency in writing that the proposed action does conflict with the policies and/or purposes of its approved LWRP, the state agency shall not proceed with its consideration of, or decision on, the proposed action as long as the Resolution of Conflicts procedure established in V below shall apply. The local government shall forward a copy of the identified conflicts to the Secretary of State at the time when the state agency is notified. In notifying the state agency, the local government shall identify the specific policies and purposes of the LWRP with which the proposed action conflicts.

V. RESOLUTION OF CONFLICTS

- A. The following procedure applies whenever a local government has notified the Secretary of State and state agency that a proposed action conflicts with the policies and purposes of its approved LWRP.
 - Upon receipt of notification from a local government that a
 proposed action conflicts with its approved LWRP, the state
 agency should contact the local LWRP official to discuss the
 content of the identified conflicts and the means for resolving
 them. A meeting of state agency and local government representatives may be necessary to discuss and resolve the identified
 conflicts. This discussion should take place within 30 days of
 the receipt of a conflict notification from the local government.
 - 2. If the discussion between the situs local government and the state agency results in the resolution of the identified conflicts, then, within seven days of the discussion, the situs local government shall notify the state agency in writing, with a copy forwarded to the Secretary of State, that all of the identified conflicts have been resolved. The state agency can then proceed with its consideration of the proposed action in accordance with 19 NYCRR Part 600.
 - 3. If the consultation between the situs local government and the state agency does not lead to the resolution of the identified conflict, either party may request, in writing, the assistance of the Secretary of State to resolve any or all of the identified conflicts. This request must be received by the Secretary within 15 days following the discussion between the situs local government and the state agency. The party requesting the assistance of the Secretary of State shall forward a copy of their request to the other party.
 - 4. Within 30 days following the receipt of a request for assistance, the Secretary or a Department of State official or

employee designated by the Secretary, will discuss the identified conflicts and circumstances preventing their resolution with appropriate representatives from the state agency and situs local government.

- 5. If agreement among all parties cannot be reached during this discussion, the Secretary shall, within 15 days, notify both parties of his/her findings and recommendations.
- 6. The state agency shall not proceed with its consideration of, or decision on, the proposed action as long as the foregoing Resolution of Conflicts procedures shall apply.

2/1/85

2

APPENDIX D

3

:

.

APPENDIX D

PROCEDURAL GUIDELINES FOR COORDINATING NYS DOS & LWRP CONSISTENCY REVIEW OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS

DIRECT ACTIONS

- After acknowledging the receipt of a consistency determination and supporting documentation from a federal agency, DOS will forward copies of the determination and other descriptive information on the proposed direct action to the program coordinator (of an approved LWRP) and other interested parties.
- 2. This notification will indicate the date by which all comments and recommendations <u>must</u> be submitted to DOS and will identify the Department's principal reviewer for the proposed action.
- 3. The review period will be about twenty-five (25) days. If comments and recommendations are not received by the date indicated in the notification, DOS will <u>presume</u> that the municipality has "no opinion" on the consistency of the proposed direct federal agency action with local coastal policies.
- 4. If DOS does not fully concur with and/or has any questions on the comments and recommendations submitted by the municipality, DOS will contact the municipality to discuss any differences of opinion or questions prior to agreeing or disagreeing with the federal agency's consistency determination on the proposed direct action.
- 5. A copy of DOS' "agreement" or "disagreement" letter to the federal agency will be forwarded to the local program coordinator.

PERMIT AND LICENSE ACTIONS

:

- DOS will acknowledge the receipt of an applicant's consistency certification and application materials. At that time, DOS will forward a copy of the submitted documentation to the program coordinator and will identify the Department's principal reviewer for the proposed action.
- Within thirty (30) days of receiving such information, the program coordinator will contact the principal reviewer for DOS to discuss: (a) the need to request additional information for review purposes; and (b) any possible problems pertaining to the consistency of a proposed action with local coastal policies.
- 3. When DOS and the program coordinator agree that additional information is necessary, DOS will request the applicant to provide the information. A copy of this information will be provided to the program coordinator upon receipt.
- 4. Within thirty (30) days of receiving the requested additional information or discussing possible problems of a proposed action with the principal reviewer for DOS, whichever is later, the program coordinator will notify DOS of the reasons why a proposed action may be inconsistent or consistent with local coastal policies.

D-3

- 5. After that notification, the program coordinator will submit the municipality's written comments and recommendations on a proposed permit action to DOS <u>before or at the conclusion</u> of the official public comment period. If such comments and recommendations are not forwarded to DOS by the end of the public comment period, DOS will <u>presume</u> that the municipality has "no opinion" on the consistency of the proposed action with local coastal policies.
- 6. If DOS does not fully concur with and/or has any questions on the comments and recommendations submitted by the municipality on a proposed permit action, DOS will contact the program coordinator to discuss any differences of opinion <u>prior</u> to issuing a letter of "concurrence" or "objection" letter to the applicant will be forwarded to the program coordinator.
- A copy of DOS' "concurrence" or "objective" letter to the applicant will be forwarded to the program coordinator.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE ACTIONS

:

- 1. Upon receiving notification of a proposed federal financial assistance action, DOS will request information on the action from the applicant for consistency review procedures. As appropriate, DOS will also request the applicant to provide a copy of the application documentation to the program coordinator. A copy of this letter will be forwarded to the coordinator and will serve as notification that the proposed action may be subject to review.
- 2. DOS will acknowledge the receipt of the requested information and provide a copy of this acknowledgement to the program coordinator. DOS may, at this time, request the applicant to submit additional information for review purposes.
- 3. The review period will conclude thirty (30) days after the date on DOS' letter of acknowledgement or the receipt of requested additional information, whichever is later. The review period may be extended for major financial assistance actions.
- 4. The program coordinator <u>must submit</u> the municipality's comments and recommendations on the proposed action to DOS within twenty (2D) days (or other time agreed to by DOS and the program coordinator) from the start of the review period received within this period, DOS will <u>presume</u> that the municipality has "no opinion" on the consistency of the proposed financial assistance action with local coastal policies.
- 5. If DOS does not fully concur with and/or has any questions on the comments and recommendations submitted by the municipality, DOS will contact the program coordinator to discuss any differences of opinion or questions prior to notifying the applicant of DOS' consistency decision.
- 6. A copy of DOS' consistency decision letter to the applicant will be forwarded to the program coordinator.



:

. .

APPENDIX E

MAYMALS OF JEFFERSOIL, LEVIS AND ST. LAWRENCE CONTITIES

ORDER MARSUPIALIA

Family Didelphidae

Didelphis marsupialis Opossum

-

ORDER INSECTIVORA

Family Talpidae

Parascalops breweri	Hairy-tailed mole
Condvlura cristata	Star-nosed mole

Family Soricidae

Sorex cinereus	Masked shrew
Sorex fumeus	Smoked shrew Statewide except extreme northeast corner
Sorex dispar	Long-tailed shrew - Catskill and Adirondack Mountains
Sorex palustris	Water shrew
Microsorex hoyi	Pigmy shrew
Cryptotis parva	Least shrew
Blarina brevicauda	Short-tailed shrew

ORDER CHIROPTERA

Family Vespertilionidae

Myotis lucifugus	Little brown bat
Myotis keenii	Keen's myotis
Myotis subulatus	Least myotis
Myotis sodalis	Indiana myotis - (?) - Hiberaculum at Glen Park,
	Jefferson County
Lasionycteris noctivagans	Silver-haired hat

Las LUNYCLETTS NUCLIVAgans	STINEL-HATLER DAR
Pipistrellus subflavus	Eastern pipistrel
Eptesicus fuscus	Big brown bat
Lasiurus borealis	Red bat
Lasiurus cinereus	Hoary bat

ORDER LAGOMORPHA

Family Leporidae

Sylvilagus floridanus	Eastern cottontail - Statewide except Central Adirondacks
Lepus americanus	Snowshore hare - Scattered throughout State (Taconics,
	Adirondacks, Catskills)
Lepus europaeus	European hare (introduced) - Hudson Valley, Mohawk
	Valley, St. Lawrence-Lake Ontario Plain

ORDER RODENTIA

Family Sciurdae

Tamias striatus	Eastern chipmunk
Marmota monax	Eastern woodchuck
Sciurus carolinensis	Eastern gray squirrel - Statewide except
····	Central Adirondacks
Tamiasciurus hudsonicus	Red squirrel - Statewide
Glaucomys volans	Eastern flying squirrel - Southern two-thirds of State
Glaucomys sabrinus	Northern flying squirrel - Catskills & Adirondacks (?)

Family Castoridae

Castor canadensis Beaver

Family Cricetidae

Peromyscus leucopus	Wood mouse
Peromyscus maniculatus	Deer mouse
Clethrionomys gapperi	Red-backed mouse
Microtus pennsylvanicus	Meadow vole
Microtus chrotorrhinus	Rock vole - Catskills and Adirondacks (Yellownose Vole)
Microtus pinetorum	Pine vole
Ondatra zibethicus	Muskrat
Synaptomys cooperi	Southern bog lemming

Family Muridae (Introduced)

Rattus rattus Rattus norvegicus Mus musculus Black rat Brown or Norway rat House mouse

Family Zapodidae

Zapus hudsonius Napaeozapus insignis Meadow jumping mouse Woodland jumping mouse

Family Erethizontidae

Erethizon dorsatum

Porcupine

ORDER CARNIVORA Suborder Fissipedia

Family Canidae

Canis latrans	Coyote
Vulpes fulva	Red fox
Urocyon cinereoargenteus	Gray fox



Family Ursidae

Ursus americanus Black bear

Family Procyonidae

Procyon lotor

Raccoon

Family Mustelidae


Martes americana	Marten - Central Adirondacks - possible in extreme
	eastern portion of Region
Martes pennanti	Fisher - Central Adirondacks, Peripheral Adirondactis, Tug Hill.
Mustela erminea	Short-tailed weasel
Mustela frenata	Long-tailed weasel
Mustela vison	Mink - Statewide
Lutra canadensis	River Otter
Mephitis mephitis	Striped skunk

Family Felidae

Lynx rufus

ORDER ARTIODACTYLA

Bobcat - Adirondacks, Catskills and occasional Statewide



÷

Odocoileus virginiana	Mhite-tailed deer
Alces alces	Moose- occasional wanderings (1980,1981)

Amphibians of New York State Line, fellering It. Furture (c. -

Mudpuppy Jefferson's Salamander Spotted salamander Red-spotted newt Dusky salamander Allegheny mountain salamander Red-backed salamander Four toed salamander Spring salamander Two-lined salamander American toad Northern spring pepper Gray tree frog Western chorus frog Bullfrog Green frog Mink frog Wood frog Northern leopard frog Pickerel frog

Necturns maculosus maculosus Ambystoma jeffersönuanum Ambystoma maculatum Diemictylus viridescens viridescens Desmognathus fuscus fuscus Desmognathus ochrophaeus ochrophaeus Plethodon cinereus cinereus Hemidactylium acutatum Gyrinophilus porphyriticus porphyriticus Eurycea bislineata bislineata Bufo terrestris americanus Hyla crucifer crucifer Hyla versicolor versicolor Pseudacris nigrita triseriata Rana catesbeiana Rana clamitans Rana septentrionalis Rana sylvatica sylvatica Rana pipiens pipiens Rana palustris

Reptiles of New York State

Non-marine turtles

Snapping turtle Stinkpot or common musk turtle Nood turtle Blanding's turtle Map turtle Midland painted turtle Chelydra serpentina serpentina Sternotherus odoratus Clemmys insculpta Emydoidea blandingii Graptemys geographica Chrysemys picta marginata

Snakes

Northern water snake Northern brown or DeKay's snake Red-bellied snake Eastern ribbon snake Eastern garter snake Eastern ring-necked snake Smooth green snake Black rat or pilot black snake Eastern milk snake Natrix sipedon sipedon Storeria dekayi dekayi Storeria occipitomaculata occipitomaculata Thamnophis sauritus sauritus Thamnophis sirtalis sirtalis Diaophis punctatus edwardsi Opheodrys vernalis vernalis Elaphe obsoleta obsoleta Lampropeltis triangulum triangulum

PRELIMINARY REPORT: BIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER

February 1977

Edited by James W. Geis United States Fish and Wildlife Service Cortland, New York and State University College of Environmental Science and Forestry Syracuse, New York

Participants:

United States Fish and Wildlife Service College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at Cornell University State University College at Oswego New York State Department of Environmental Conservation State University College of Environmental Science and Forestry

Published by State University College of Environmental Science and Forestry Institute of Environmental Program Affairs Syracuse, New York 13210

Species	Greeley et al. 1930	Hubbs & Lagler 1958		<u>1976</u>
<i>Ichthyomyzon fossor</i> Northern brook lamprey		x	x	
<i>Ichthyomyzon unicuspis</i> Silver lamprey	. X	x	x	X
Lampetra Lamottei American brook lamprey		x	x	
Petromyzon marinus Sea lamprey	x	x	x	x
Acipenser fulvescens Lake sturgeon	x	x	x	x
Lepisosteus osseus Longnose gar	x	x	x	x
Amia calua Bowfin	x	x	X	x
<i>Anguilla rostrata</i> American eel	x	x	x	x
Alosa pseudonarengus Alewife	x	X	x	X
Dorosoma cepecianum Gizzard shad		x	x	X
<i>Eiodon tergisus</i> Mooneye	x	x	X	. X
Coregonus crtedii Cisco or lake herring	X -	x	x	
Coregonus clupeaformis Lake whitefish			x	
Prosopium cylindraceum Round whitefish		x	x	

Table 1. A comparison of fish species determined to inhabit the international section of the St. Lawrence River.

E-9

••••

2

Species	Greeley et al. 1930	Hubbs & Lagler 1958	Scott & Crossman 1973	<u>1976</u>
Salmo gaircheri Rainbow trout		x	x	x
Saimo salar Atlantic salmon	x	x	x	
Salmo trutta Brown trout		x	x	
Salvelinus nonayoush Lake trout		. X	x	
<i>Osmerus mordaz</i> Rainbow smelt		x	x	X
Umbra limi Central mudminnow	x	x	x	x
<i>Esoz americanus</i> Grass pickerel		x	x	x
<i>Esoz lucius</i> Northern pike	x	X	x	x
Esoz masquinongy Muskellunge	X	X	x	X
<i>Esoz πiger</i> Chain pickerel		x	x	
Carassius auratus Goldfish		x		
Clinostomus elongatus Redside dace		x		
Couesius plumbeus Lake chub		x	x	
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i> Carp	x	x	x	
Ezoglossum mazillingua Cutlips minnow	x	x .	x	x

E-10

÷

•

Species	Greeley et al. 1930	Hubbs & Lagler 1958	Scott & Crossman 1973	<u>1976</u>
<i>Bybognaticus hankinsoni</i> Brassy minnow		x	x	x
Eybognathus nuchalis Silvery minnow			x	X
<i>Nocomis micropogon</i> River chub			x	
Notemigorus chrysoleucas Golden shiner	x	x	x	x
Notropis anogenus Pugnose shiner	<	x	x	X
Notropis atherinoides Emerald shiner	x	x	x	X
<i>Notropis bifrenatus</i> Bridle shiner	X	x	x	X
Notropis cornutus Common shiner	x	x	x	X
Notropis heterodon Blackchin shiner	x	X	x	x
Notropis heterolepis Blacknose shiner	x	x	x	X
Notropis hudsonius Spottail shiner	x	x	x	X
<i>Notropis rubellus</i> Rosyface shiner	x	x	x	X
Notropis spilopterus Spotfin shiner	x	X	x	X
Notropis stramineus Sand shiner	x	x	X	X
Notropis volucellus Mimic shiner	X		x	X

E-11

i

;

:

Species	Greeley et al. 1930	Hubbs & Lagler 1958	Scott & Crossman 1973	<u>1976</u>
Phorinus eos Northern redbelly dace		x	x	
<i>Phorinus neogaeus</i> Finescale dace	•	x	x	
Pimephales notatus Bluntnose minnow	x	x	x	x
Pimephales promelas Fathead minnow	x	x	x	x
<i>Rhinichthys atratulus</i> Blacknose dace		x	x	
Rhinichthys cataractae Longnose dace	x	x	x	x
<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i> Creek chub	x	x	x	x
Semotilus corporalis Fallfish	x	x	x	X
Semotilus margarita Pearl dace		x	x	
Carpiodes cyprinus Quillback		x	x	
Catostomus catostomus Longnose sucker		x	x	
Catostomus commersoni White sucker	x	X	X	X
Erimyzon oblongus Creek chubsucker		x		
Mozostoma anisurum Silver redhorse	x	x	x	x
Mozostoma carinatum River redhorse		x	x	

:

•

	Greeley	Hubbs &	Scott &	
Species	1930	Lagler 1958	Crossman <u>1973</u>	1976
Mozostoma duquesnei Black redhorse		X	x	
Mozostoma hubbsi Copper redhorse		x		ĩ
Mozostoma macrolepidotum Shorthead redhorse	x	x	x	x
<i>Mozostoma valenciernesi</i> Greater redhorse	x	x	x	x
Ictalurus melas Black bullhead		x	x	
<i>Ictalurus natalis</i> Yellow bullhead		x	x	x
Ictalurus nebulosus Brown bullhead	x	x	x	X
Ictalurus punctatus Channel catfish	x	x	x	x
Noturus flavus Stonecat	x	X	x	
<i>Noturus gyrimus</i> Tadpole madtom		X	x	X
Percopsis omiscomaycus Trout-perch	x	x	X	x
Lota lota Burbot	x	x	x	X
Eunculus dicphonus Banded killifish	x	x	x	X
Labidesthes sicculus Brook silverside	x	X	x	x
<i>Culaea inconstans</i> Brook stickleback	x	x	x	x

2

	Greeley	Hubbs & Lagler	Scott & Crossman	
Species	1930	1958	1973	<u>1976</u>
Gasterosteus coulectus Threespine stickleback	x	x	x	x
Pungitius pungitius Ninespine stickleback			x	
<i>Morone americana</i> White perch		x	x	x
. Morone chrysops White bass		x	x	X
Ambloplites rupestris Rockbass	x	x	x	x
Lepomis gibbosus Pumpkinseed	x	x	x	x
Lepomis macrochirus Bluegill		X	X	x
Lepomis megalotis Longear sunfish		x	·	
Micropterus colomieu Smallmouth bass	x	x X	x .	x
Micropterus salmoides Largemouth bass	x	x	. X	x
<i>Pomozis nigromaculatus</i> Black crappie		x	x	x
Ammocrypta pellucida Eastern sand darter		x	X	
Etheostoma caeruleum Rainbow darter		X		
Etheostoma e=ile Iowa darter	x	x	x	X
Etheostoma flabellare Faintail darter		x	x	
<i>Etheostoma nigrum</i> Johnny darter	x	x	x	

÷

G	reeley	Hubbs &	Scott &	
Species	1930	Lagler 1958	Crossman 1973	1976
Etheostoma olmstedi Tessellated darter		· • • • .	9	x
<i>Perca flavescens</i> Yellow perch	X	X	X	X
Percina caprodes Logperch	X	X	X	x
Percina copelanci Channel darter	x	X	X	
Stizostedion canadense Sauger	x	X	x	
<i>Stizostedion vitreum vitreu</i> Walleye	π X	X	X	X
Aplodinotus grooniens Freshwater drum		. X	X	X
<i>Cottus bairdi</i> Mottled sculpin	x	X	x	x
Cottus cognatus Slimy sculpin		X	X	x

 $\delta e^{-\lambda}$

. .

٠.

ŝ

Sparrow, Sparrow, Sparrow, Sparrow,	True Chimping Field White-crnd Inite-thrtd Fox	Spurrow, Lincoln's Sparrow, Swamp Sparrow, Song Longspur, Lapland Bunting, Snow
sparrou,	X01	aducture, piloa

NOTE: All reports of unusual sightings (thosed marked with #), species not on checklist, or species seen out of season must be submitted with details such as numbers, date, location, observers, field marks. Field marks used in identification (plumage; action, song, flight, etc.) should be noted at time of observation.)

in Heat CASUAL OR HYPOTHETICALI Part of the server White-tailed Tropic-bird (Specimen), the case of the set Little Dlug Heron Barn Ovl rate & enroll Great Gray Owl and Snowy Egret 19 - 19**4** - 1 Boreal Ov1 (Specimen) Glossy Ibis Red-bellied Moodpecker a lifta-fronted Goose - Mropenn Migson Western Kingbird Inrrow's Goldeneye (Specimen) Willow Flyentcher Common Eidor Raven (Specimen) King Eider Tufted Titmouse Golden Eagle (Specimen) Carolina Wren Sage Thrasher (Photo) Cyrfalcon (Snecimen) Spruce Grouse (Specimen) Varied Thrush (Specimen) Pobuhite Worm-enting Warbler Yellow Rail Blue-winged Marbler Piping Plover Louisiana Haterthrush Long-billed Curlew (Specimen) Yellow-breasted Chat Willet 7 . 7 Hooded Harbler Long-billed Douritcher Western Meadowlark Hudsonian Godwit Orchard Oriola Ruff Black-hoadod Grosbeak(Photo) American Avocet Blue Grosbeak Parasitic Jaeger Hoary Redpoll Little Gull Clay-colored Sparrow Black-logged Kittiwake Forster's Tern Thick-billed Kurre (Specimen)

NORTH COLLINY EIRD CLUD Watertown, New York RECIONAL CHECKLIST OF BIRDS Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence Counties

Observer	Date
Locality	Total
Loon, Common	Goldeneyé, Common
Loon, Red-thrtd#	Bufflehead
Loon, Red-thrtd#	Oldsquaw ''
Grebe, Horned	Scoter, Phite-winged
Grebe, Pled-billed	Scoter, Surf
Cormorant, Dbl-cr	Scoter, Black
Heron, Great Dlue	Luck, Ruddy #
Heron, Green	Merganser, Hooded
Egret, Cattle	Merganser, Common Merganser, Red-brstd
Egret, Great"	Merganser, Red-brstd
lleron, Elack-cr II.	Vulture, Surkoy
Bittern, Least#	Goshawic, Northern
Bittorn, American	Hawk, Sharp-shinned
Swan, Muter	llauk, Cooper's
Swan, Whistling"	llnuk, Cooper's llnuk, Red-tailed
Goose, Canada	Mawk, Red-shouldered
brant#	Hawk, Brond-winged
Goose, Show	Hawk, Rough-legged
Mallard	Eagle, Bald#
Duck, Black	Hawk, Marsh
Gadwall	Osprey
Pintail	Salcon, Peregrine*
Teal, Green-winged	Merlin#
Teal, Blue-winged	Kostrel, American
Wigeon, American	Grouse, Ruffed
Shoveler, Northern	Pheasant, Ring-necked
Duck, Nood	Partridge, Gray
Redhead	Turkey
Duck, Ring-necked	Rail, Virginia
Canvasback	0
Canvasback Scaup, Greater	Callinule. Common
Scaup, Lesser	Callinule, Common



(Feb. 1975)

Plover, Seminalmated
Xilldeer
Plover, Amer Colden
Plover, Di-bellied
Turnstone, Ruddy
Woodcock, American
Snipe, Common
inimbrel a
Sandpiper, Upland
Sandpiper, Spotted
Sandpiper, Solitary
Yellowlegs, Greater
Yellowlegs, Lasser
Knot, Red
Sandniper, Purples
Sandpiper, Pectoral
Sandather, Mitte-rumed
counchylicity nutridia 1 . 1
Candniper, Least
Dowtschur, Mort-bld
Sandpiper, Stilt
Sanchipar, Somipalmated
Sandniper, Mestern #
Sandpiper, Buff-bratdwill
Sanderling
Phalarope, Red#
Phalaropa, 1112son's"
"halerone, Northern#
Gull, Glaucous
Gull, Iceland
Gull, Gr. Black-bkd
Gull, Herring
Gull, Ring-billed
Gull, Bonaparto's
Tern, Common
Tern, Caspian
Tern, Black
Dove, Rock
Dove, Sourning Cuckoo, Yellow-billed
Cickoo, Black-billed
aracteritied

Oul, Screech	
On2, Great Horned	
Oul, Snowy	
Oul, Havk#	
Oul Barred	
Oul, Barred	
Ovil, Short-eared	
Oul, Saw-wheth	
"hip-poor-will	
Mighthauk, Common	
Swift, Chimney	
Swift, Chimney	
Kingfisher, Belted	
Flicker, Common	
Houdpecker, Pileated	
Houdpecker, Pileated Woodpucker, Red-headed Sapsucker, Yellow-bld	
Sapsucker, Yellow-bld	
Voodpecker, Hairy Voodpecker, Downy Noodpecker, B1-bkd 3t#	
Woodpacker, Downy	
Noodpecker, No. 3t#	
Kingbird, Eastern	
Plycatcher, Gr. Crstd	
Phoebe, Eastern	
Mycatcher, Yellou-bldu	
Mycatcher, Yellow-bldt	
Elvestehan Tasut	
Dauga Pastona Hand	
Mycatcher, Olive-sd	
Lark, Horned	
Swallow, Tree	
Swallow, Bank	
Swallow, Bank Swallow, Rough-winged	
Swallow, Barn	
Swallow, Cliff	
Martin, Purple	
cuy, Grayt	
Jay, Blue Crow, Common	
Chickadea Bl and	
Chickadee, Bl-capped Chickadee, Boreal#	
Nuthatch, Mite-braid	
for a contraction with a contract of	

9. 1 1

Nuthatch, Red-bratd Creeper, Brown Vren, House Vren, Winter_____ Wren, Long-billed Marsh Mren, Sht-billed Marsha Mockingbird#____ Catbird, Gray Thrasher, Brown ----Robin, American Thrush, Wood Thrush, !!ermit_____ Thrush, Suainson's ____ Thrush, Gray-cheeked_ Veery Bluebird, Sastern Gnatcatcher, 91-eray" Kinglet, Golden-ornd Kinglet, Ruby-crnd _____ Pipit, "ater_____ Waxing, Bohemian" Maxwing, Cedar _____ Shrike, Northern ____ Shrike, Loggerhead Starling W: Vireo, Yellow-thrtd____ Vireo, Solitary Vireo, Red-eyed Vireo, Philadelphia*_ Vireo, Marbling Marbler, Bl-white Marbler, Golden-ungd Marbler, Tennessee Warbler, Orange-crnd# Warbler, Mashville Marbler, No Parula -----Warbler, Yellow Warbler, Magnolia Marbler, Cape May ____ Marbler, Bl-thrtd Blue____ Sparrow, Menslow's _____ Warbler, Bl-thuld Green dires, Berk-eyed

Warbler, Cerulean Marbler, Blackburnian Marbler, Chestnut-sd ._____ Marbler, Bay-brazd Marbler, Plackooll Warbler, Pine#_____ Warbler, Palm_____ Ovenbird Waterthrush, Northern Marbler, Connecticut" Warbler, Hourning Yellowthroat, Common_____ Warbler, Millson's Warbler, Canada_____ Redstart, American____ Sparrow, House Bobolink Meadowlark, Eastern_____ Dlackbird, Red-winged_ Uriole, Northern Blackbird, Rusty____ Grackle, Common .____ Coubird, Srown-headed_ Tonager, Scarlet Cardine! Grosbeak, Rose-bratd Bunting, Indigo Grosbeak, Evening Finch. Purple_____ House Finch Grosbeak, Pine Red Joll, Common .____ Siskin, Pine Goldfinch, American___ Crossbill, Red Crossbill, Unite-word. Towhee, Rufous-sided Sparrow, Savannah Sparrow, Grasshopper____

US Department of Commerce NOAA Coastal Services Center F Thrary 2234 South Hobson Ave Charleston, SC 29405-2413

